FREEING FROM THE CLUTCHES OF DEMONS

***Author*: Dr Shantanu Panigrahi**
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
United Kingdom

***Publisher:* The Conservative Libertarian Publications Limited**
Companies House Number 13489581

ISBN Number: 978-1-7390803-7-2

PREFACE






The only dharmayudha that is allowed is that which is contained in satya-advaita or truth-accommodation. That dharmayudha is sanatan dharma or more correctly, karma in sanatan dharma, Freedom and liberty follow as surely as truth has its own way of expression, the expression that slays the demons that confine one into slavery from ones libertaratian minded-existence.

Shantanu Panigrahi shared a memory.
6h ·
Shared with Public
Freedom comes from self-control based on establishing all the facts and accommodating them mentally and physcally..Martin Luther King failed in that he created enemies. The same is true of Mahatma Gandhi. The exception is Nelson Mandela after his guerilla warfare early on but he reformed later.
Only those who practice truth-accommodation (satya-advaita) genuinely get freedom for themselves and their fellow humans. Without mental freedom there is no physical freedom. Only conflicts arise if one puts the cart of physical freedom in front of the horse of mental freedom.

2 years ago
See your memories

Shantanu Panigrahi
21 July 2020 ·
Shared with Public
Free at last, Free at last, Thank God Almighty I am Free at last



UPDATE ON CLAIM J00ME572
Yahoo
/
Sent
Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
Enquiries Medway County
Cc:
Orla Scanlan
Thu, 21 Jul at 10:29

To
The Court Manager
Medway County Court

Dear Sir/Madam

I know that the Court has insisted that I as Claimant should not impose deadlines on the attached Injunction and Money Claims proceedings, but it was the Court's own deadline for submission of Defence by 13 June 2022 or the consideration of the 29 May 2022 application from BLM Law to have the Claim struck out. The Court has not yet made a decision on both aspects of the Claim, and whilst I fully understand that there may be hundreds of Cases in the Court pending Listing so that there may be up to 10 weeks from 13June 2022 for a decision to be announced, I would be grateful for an acknowledgement of this email for legal purposes so that I can make the appropriate arrangements

to my prospective employer Tesco at Courtney Road Gillingham during my appointment for an interview tomorrow at 15.00 hours for a part-time job that I am keen on. As you know the Tesco has asked whether I have any criminal convictions that need to be disclosed and I have provisionally indicated that the answer is No on the grounds that even the Speeding Offence that I had to pay a Magistrate Court issued fine several years ago is being appealed through the Injunction and Money Claims application.

I am copying this email to BLM Law's Orla Scanlan to keep Kent Police informed that the proceedings will not go away

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
(Claimant)
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Attachment:
Document_2022-05-13_152026.pdf 5.9MB:
Letter from Medway County Court received on 13 May 2022

Page 1 of 5
Notice of Issue
(non-money claim)

In the County Court at Medway
Claim Number J00ME572
Claimant: Shantanu Panigrahi
Defendant: Hugh Pughesley
Issue Fee: £332

Your Claim was issued on 29 April 2022
The court sent it to the defendant by first class post on 12/05/22 and it will be deemed served on 16/05/22. The defendant has until 30/05/22 to reply.

Notes for guidance
*The claim form and the particulars of claim, if served separately, must be served on the defendant within 4 months of the date of issue (6 months if you are serving outside England and Wales). You may be able to apply to extend the time for serving the claim form but the application must generally be made before the 4 month or 6 month period expires.
* You must inform the court immediately if your claim is settled or discontinued.
The defendant may
- Admit the truth of the whole or any part of your claim. The cout will send you a copy of the defendant’s admission and tell you what to do next.
- File an acknowledge of service. This will allow the defendant 28 days from the date of service of your particulars of claim to file a defence or contest the court’s jurisdiction.
- Dispute the whole claim. The court will send you a copy of the defence.

• Not reply at all. You may make an application to the court for judgment. A fee may be payable.

The Court Office at the County Court at Medway, Medway Civil and Family Court, The Court House, The Brook, Chatham, Kent, ME4 4JZ. When corresponding with the court, please address forms or letters to the Court Manager and quote the claim number. Tel: 01634 887900 Fax: 0870 324 0175. Check if you can issue your claim online. It will save you time and money. Go to www.moneyclaim.gov.uk to find out more.

N205C Notice of Issue (non money claim)
Produced by: Joana Apetri
CJR179

(Page 2 of 5):
n16a-engInjuctionagainstKentPolice.pdf 432.4kB
Application for Injunction
(General Form)
Name of court Claim No. Medway County Court J00ME572
Claimant's Name and Ref. Shantanu Panigrahi
Defendant's Name and Ref. Kent Police
Fee Account no. HWF-9K4-EYL/HQ17X01773

Sealed: The County Court
Notes on completion
Tick which boxes apply and specify the legislation where appropriate
By application in pending proceedings Yes
Under Statutory provision Yes
This application is made under Part 8 of the Civil Procedure Rules Yes
Seal
This application raises issues under the Human Rights Act 1998 Yes No Yes
The Claimant(1)
(1) Enter the full name of the person making the application applies to the court for an injunction order in the following terms: Shantanu Panigrahi
The Defendant(2)
(2) Enter the full name of the person the injunction is to be directed to: Chief Constable of Kent Police, Hugh Pughesley must stop investigating the Claimant for any
offences that it has kept secret from the Claimant after 6 months of (assessment without an explanation to the Claimant
3) Set out any proposed (3) rders requiring acts to
be done. Delete if no mandatory order is sought.
The Defendant be forbidden (whether by himself or by instructing or encouraging or permitting any other person)(4) The Chief Constable of Kent Police was served due notice by way of an arrest warrant issued that its harassment and intrusion into the private life of the Claimant constituted a Hate Crime against him
(4) Set out here the proposed terms of the injunction order (if the defendant is a limited company delete the wording in brackets and insert 'whether by its servants, agents, officers
or otherwise'). And that(5) The Claimant took out civil proceedings and criminal proceedings again Kent Police.
(5) Set out here any further terms asked for including provision for costs The grounds of this application are set out in the written evidence of(6) (6) Enter the names of al

persons who have sworn affidavits or signed statements in support of this application sworn (signed) on The Defendndant kept secret the full circumstances of the 1500 criminal anonymous emails received by the Claimant.
This written evidence is served with this application.
This application is to be served upon(7)
(7) Enter the names and addresses of all persons upon whom it is intended to serve this application
This application is filed by(8) Hugh Pughesley, Chief Constable of Kent Police, Sutton Road, Maidstone, Kent.
(8) Enter the full name and address for service and delete as required
(the Solicitors for) the Claimant (Applicant/Petitioner) Claimant: Dr Shantanu Panigrahi, 3 Hoath Lane, Wigmore, Gillingham, Kent ME8 0SL. United Kingdom.
whose address for service is Signed Dated 7 April 2022
To* This section to be completed by the court *
Name and address of the person application is directed to of

This application will be heard by the (District) Judge
at
on the day of 20 at o'clock
If you do not attend at the time shown the court may make an injunction order in your absence
If you do not fully understand this application you should go to a Solicitor, Legal Advice Centre or a Citizens' Advice Bureau
The court office at
is open between 10am and 4pm Mon - Fri. When corresponding with the court, please address all forms and letters to the Court Manager and quote the claim number.
N16A General form of application for injunction (05.14)

(Page 3 of 5):

DEFENCE STATEMENT ON HARASSMENT OFFENCE
1. I have never ever sent an email from a Remailer, Austria anonymous email account, or a Nomen Nescio Account, or some other dizum account, or another anonymous email account to anyone whether an official at a government institution, or a private individual.
2. I have received hundreds of emails into my Gmail Phone Account from people like 38 Degrees, Internet Archive, and others which did not appear in my Desktop Gmail Account.
3. I have not sent any emails that has harassed anyone from my Shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com account: hundreds appear to have been sent to people involved with the organisation Victims of Panigrahi Association but clearly my Shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com account was highjacked to get me into legal difficulties with the Central London County Court in respect of Claim E35YM660.
4. My shanpanigrahi@yahoo.co.uk was bastardised by trolls and criminals so I could not send out any emails from this Account and had to abandon it a year ago. Any emails sent from this account was done by Victims of Panigrahi Association associated trolls and criminals.
5. All my purposeful emails and I have sent out thousands over the past 20 years were legitimate court-associated legal proceedings in relation to ME010463, ME002953, HQ17X01773, and E35YM660.
6. Whenever a particular recipient of an email from me asked me not to send them any emails, I complied with the request unless court proceedings became prejudiced from my inaction.
7. Since I started my E35YM660 Claim against the Prime Minister and Victims of Panigrahi Association for its criminal anonymous emails to me notably from Sivaji Panesar, Marty Caine, Nitin Bhardwaj, Fritz Wueler, Cherie, Egregious_C, and others like Ed Mulhouse and Monneka Tahir, there was a systematic attempt to have me booted out of this country to places like Pakistan, because I was bringing Kent Police in a £5 million pound damages and compensation Claim in the High Court

Queens Bench Division and brought the Crown Prosecution Service to East Kent Magistrates Court on a criminal charge of perverting the course of justice and obstructing justice. That is why I considered that the UK State through its Security Services had wanted to frame charges against me of forensic or criminal nature to have me incarcerated in a mental hospital for a third time or worse to get me committed to a Trial that the County Court in Central London arranged in a Pre-Trial Hearing but I was exonerated. I told my Consultant Psychiatrist that come what may I will never leave the United Kingdom, and this is the reason that Kent Police is charging me with a concocted summons for Harassment that is not specified to leave the State room for elaboration later. This is done to protect the Central London County Court from having to pass judgment or Order to my Appeal Application which the Court would have to justify that the University of Greenwich was lawful in withholding my £55,000 severance payment.
(Signed)
S.Panigrahi
5.28 pm 15 Sep 2021

(Page 4 of 5):
Claim Form
In the

Claim No J00ME572
Issue Date: 29/04/22/

Sealed The County Court
Claimant's Name and address including postcode:
Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL

Defendant's Name and Address including postcode:
Kent Police
Grugeon House,
Police Headquarters,
Sutton Road,
Maidstone
Kent ME15 9BZ

Brief details of claim:
This Claim is for compensation and damages suffered by me as the Claimant as a result of Kent Police's inaction and actions that has caused me much suffering since 2004.

Value
£100,000 up to £5 million

Preferred County Court Hearing Centre:

Defendants Name and address for service including postcode:
Kent Police
Grugeon House,
Police Headquarters,

Sutton Road,
Maidstone
Kent ME15 9BZ
Amount Claimed: £100, 000.00
Court Fee: £4,500.00
Total amount: £104,500.00

(Page 5 0f 5):
Does this Claim have any issues of interest in the Human Rights Act: Yes

Particulars of Claim: (attached/to follow):
This Claim is brought to the High Court against Kent Police and co-conspirators (officials in Her Majesty's Court and Tribunal Service, Lawyers, the Legal Ombudsman and the Independent Police Complaints Commission) against the following chargesheet against this Police Force:
(a) protecting criminals on my submission of Internet Complaint hate crime;
(b) protecting criminals on the Shell Tribunal matter;
(c) protecting criminals in the UKIP proceedings matter;
(d) protecting criminals in the Greenwich Legalities matter;
(e) protecting criminals in the National Health Service directed crimes against me;
(f) protecting criminals in the AuthorhouseUK book publication matter;
(g) protecting the Legal Ombudsman from criminal activities against me with regard to several lawyers that I complained about;
(h) Dover capture by Kent Police in 2004 to incarcerate me in a mental hospital without due reason.
(i) capturing me at home and under handcuffs returning me to the mental hospital from where I had lawfully absconded;
(j) pointless prosecution of speeding offence that I was collecting money to discharge in January 2017;
(k) protecting court officials who gave me an unjustified criminal record with the processing of the speeding offence at Medway Magistrates Court;
(l) protecting the Labour Party in its hate crime of denying me my membership rights to submit Motions, and Questions to the Prime Minister.

Statement of Truth:
I believe that the facts stated in these particulars of claim are true
Full Name: Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
Signature

UPDATE ON CLAIM j00ME5723
Yahoo
/
Sent
Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
PSD Complaints Kent, operational.complaints.manager.north@kent.police.uk, Paul Nichols PC 46015002, 11285@kent.police.uk, civil.claims@kent.pnn.police.uk, Panigrahi Rashmi, Vikki Randall , Enquiries Kent Police, resourcingteam@tesco.com, Enquiries Medway County Court, Office of Graham Brady, southeastvrrandcomplaints@cps.gsi.gov.uk, CPSContact
Hide
Thu, 21 Jul at 13:44

Dear SirsThu, 21 Jul at 13:44

Dear Sirs
The Medway County Court is not acknowledging the following proceedings for its own reasons, so that I am terminating my Injunction Application and Money Claims Application with immediate effect.
In light of this decision to terminate proceedings, I would make myself available fo Kent Police's further investigation of the harassment and stalking charge of Katrina Sale of the Conservative and Unionist Party, and will defend myself against it at a suitable Court. If there are other offences being considered to add on to this fundamental allegation that dates to 15 September 2021, I will also defend against it.
I shall be unrepresented by a Lawyer at any such proceedings.
Please updated me on what is going on in respect of this matter.
Yours sincerely
Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Hide original message
----- Forwarded message -----
From: Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To: Enquiries Medway County <enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk>
Cc: Orla Scanlan <orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, 21 July 2022 at 10:29:21 BST
Subject: UPDATE ON CLAIM j00ME572

To
The Court Manager
Medway County Court
Dear Sir/Madam
I know that the Court has insisted that I as Claimant should not impose deadlines on the attached Injunction and Money Claims proceedings but it was the Court's own deadline for submission of Defence by 13 June 2022 or the consideration of the 29 May 2022 application from BLM Law to have the Claim struck out. The Court has not yet made a decision on both aspects of the Claim, and whilst I fully understand that there may be hundreds of Cases in the Court pending Listing so that there may be up to 10 weeks from 13June 2022 for a decision to be announced, I would be grateful for an acknowledgement of this email for legal purposes so that I can make the appropriate arrangements to my prospective empoyer Tesco at Courtney Road Gillingham during my appointment for an interview tomorrow at 15.00 hours for a part-time job that I am keen on. As you know the Tesco has asked whether I have any criminal convictions that need to be disclosed and I have provisionally indicated that the answer is No on the grounds that even the Speeding Offence that I had to pay a Magistrate Court issued fine several years ago is being appealed through the Injunction and Money Claims application.

I am copying this email to BLM Law's Orla Scanlan to keep Kent Police informed that the proceedings will not go away

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
(Claimant)
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Attachment
Document_2022-05-13_152026.pdf 5.9MB

UPDATE ON CLAIM j00ME572
Yahoo
/
Inbox

Medway County, Enquiries <enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk>
To:
Shantanu Panigrahi
,
Medway County, Enquiries
Cc:
Orla Scanlan

Thu, 21 Jul at 13:57

Good Afternoon,

The Court has received your email.

Kind Regards,
Nic
Civil Section - Medway County Court
HMCTS
Medway County and Family Court, 9-11 The Brook, Chatham, ME4 4JZ
Phone:01634 887900
Web: www.gov.uk/hmcts
For Information regarding COVID-19 and HMCTS, please click here: COVID-19
For information on how HMCTS uses personal data about you please see:
https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hm-courts-and-tribunals-service/about/personal-information-charter
Hide original message
From: Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
Sent: 21 July 2022 10:29
To: Medway County, Enquiries <enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk>
Cc: Orla Scanlan <orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com>
Subject: UPDATE ON CLAIM j00ME572
To
The Court Manager
Medway County Court
Dear Sir/Madam

I know that the Court has insisted that I as Claimant should not impose deadlines on the attached Injunction and Money Claims proceedings but it was the Court's own deadline for submission of Defence by 13 June 2022 or the consideration of the 29 May 2022 application from BLM Law to have the Claim struck out. The Court has not yet made a decision on both aspects of the Claim, and whilst I fully understand that there may be hundreds of Cases in the Court pending Listing so that there may be up to 10 weeks from 13June 2022 for a decision to be announced, I would be grateful for an acknowledgement of this email for legal purposes so that I can make the appropriate arrangements to my prospective empoyer Tesco at Courtney Road Gillingham during my appointment for an interview tomorrow at 15.00 hours for a part-time job that I am keen on. As you know the Tesco has asked whether I have any criminal convictions that need to be disclosed and I have provisionally indicated that the answer is No on the grounds that even the Speeding Offence that I had to pay a Magistrate Court issued fine several years ago is being appealed through the Injunction and Money Claims application.
I am copying this email to BLM Law's Orla Scanlan to keep Kent Police informed that the proceedings will not go away.

Yours sincerely
Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
(Claimant)
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Undeliverable: Fw: UPDATE ON CLAIM j00ME572
Yahoo
/
Inbox

postmaster@cps.gov.uk <postmaster@cps.gov.uk>
To:
shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com

Thu, 21 Jul at 13:45

Delivery has failed to these recipients or groups:

CPSContact (cpscontact@cps.gov.uk)
The email address you entered couldn't be found. Please check the recipient's email address and try to resend the message. If the problem continues, please contact your email admin.

Diagnostic information for administrators:

Generating server: CPSZSL-CS-EXC01.cps.gov.uk
cpscontact@cps.gov.uk
Remote Server returned '550 5.1.10 RESOLVER.ADR.RecipientNotFound; Recipient not found by SMTP address lookup'
Original message headers:

Received: from CPSZSL-CS-EXC01.cps.gov.uk (10.4.0.20) by
 CPSZSL-CS-EXC01.cps.gov.uk (10.4.0.20) with Microsoft SMTP Server
 (version=TLS1_2, cipher=TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384) id
 15.2.986.26; Thu, 21 Jul 2022 13:44:52 +0100
Received: from mail6.bemta34.messagelabs.com (10.4.0.4) by
 CPSZSL-CS-EXC01.cps.gov.uk (10.4.0.20) with Microsoft SMTP Server
 (version=TLS1_2, cipher=TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384) id 15.2.986.26
 via Frontend Transport; Thu, 21 Jul 2022 13:44:52 +0100
Authentication-Results: mx.messagelabs.com; spf=pass
 (server-23.tower-565.messagelabs.com: domain of yahoo.com designates
 77.238.179.147 as permitted sender) smtp.mailfrom=yahoo.com; dkim=pass
 (good signature) header.i=@yahoo.com header.s=s2048; dmarc=pass
 (p=reject adkim=r aspf=r) header.from=yahoo.com
X-Brightmail-Tracker: H4sIAAAAAAAAA+NgFjrJKsWRWlGSWpSXmKPExsXi+27zZF1br5t
 JBmsiLL6vFnVg9Lj69wZrAGMUa2ZeUn5FAmvG47uH2Que3NzPWNHxeRFrA2Pv5L2MXYxcHCwC
 q1gknvxsZwFxJARmsEj0tc1mhXA+C0osvdbE1sXICeRoSjxZcQLMFhKolXj+6yAjiM0ioC3Ru
 vAxC4jNJmAmceJuD9gkEYEZbBI7v7aygyR4BWwkvqw7BNbAKWAr8WjeU0aIQYUS12a+AGsWFl
 CV+DTrOAtEvaDEyZlPwGxmgTCJ+2e6oY7Qkvi1pROolwMoLi6x9UHNBEaBWUg6ZiHpmIVQBRG
 OkVj15xkTRFhdYv08IYiwtsSyha+ZIWw1idvbrrJjistKTH7yHcpWlJjS/RCqxkti07wPbBC2
 jcSJDy/YFjDyrGK0SirKTM8oyU3MzNE1NDDQNTQ01TU21jU30Uus0k3USy3VLU8tLtE10kssL
 9ZLLS7WK67MTc5J0ctLLdnECIzPlGJFrx2Mr1b81DvEKMnBpCTK+1v+ZpIQX1J+SmVGYnFGfF
 FpTmrxIUYZDg4lCd4lHkA5waLU9NSKtMwcYKqASUtw8CiJ8EY6A6V5iwsSc4sz0yFSpxiNOV4
 durKXmWPKjq4DzEIsefl5qVLivNYgkwRASjNK8+AGwVLYJUZZKWFeRgYGBiGegtSi3MwSVPlX
 jOIcjErCvCdBpvBk5pXA7XsFdAoT0Cnm5jdATilJREhJNTDFcJR+teLbWG3NevWK9/N00xf6T
 2x6RK79cBPLCJ+T7rF7XdmLx67WWzwiq7Pd3UK5l/f47SvL+B834+Ly3IC4u5fOpX1t+O3ko2
 dScqU45kJrw4z2A5KrakosDBTVgwqPfDt+mD/qMY/YO94wu5lF6/5e4hPjOMv9VK/iXtK85r+
 v5LiPqamou076d6Tlvb1n/5ozfeEsM5ZM5rI3evRg4mdld+EC7Rw9mcCjbyZfrcqo+8wrk2D0
 a1cZR16+t/KWPx31e4s+ruj8cU5zw79fnNx8mVOX3ZNj6z5muUb376QrR1e52S03rW+MF2vsr
 v4/9/OXkKL1LQYFau9MzJzNf1xWWfbaPDlnZ8b5G0osxRmJhlrMRcWJAPQa3mTcAwAA
X-Env-Sender: shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com
X-Msg-Ref: server-23.tower-565.messagelabs.com!1658407484!431531!1
X-Originating-IP: [77.238.179.147]
X-SpamReason: No, hits=1.5 required=7.0 tests=newsletters: ,
 DEAR_SOMETHING,FORGED_YAHOO_RCVD,HTML_40_50,HTML_MESSAGE,
 UNPARSEABLE_RELAY
X-StarScan-Received:
X-StarScan-Version: 9.87.3; banners=-,-,cps.gov.uk
X-VirusChecked: Checked
Received: (qmail 31777 invoked from network); 21 Jul 2022 12:44:44 -0000
Received: from sonic304-22.consmr.mail.ir2.yahoo.com (HELO sonic304-22.consmr.mail.ir2.yahoo.com) (77.238.179.147)
 by server-23.tower-565.messagelabs.com with ECDHE-RSA-AES256-GCM-SHA384 encrypted SMTP;
21 Jul 2022 12:44:44 -0000

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=yahoo.com; s=s2048; t=1658407484;
bh=gnyUlNUV9vST8eZHuqbh6hBD/BCpKgJZyU6u3g5TRAE=; h=Date:From:To:In-Reply-
To:References:Subject:From:Subject:Reply-To;
b=Ma6CgIfDqyU1N4Pq6Mtf2C8fyfk6AVuFDSERkJlNbHV7X1GRFuhZzq4g1KfArRMJ5gOUM7hUd3gTm
3Uz+FzEKSHyVtfwHLj00oanadJm/BrQmDlX2YICRyhrLoTbs8F7kIN9CNfEiZPt5jLjD/Jh4CY2d41Z/5iMMC
6I35iW/osAfFfu9Xy50zBF926knFziB1tuIikZipOyBiRrlSeInDNneY+GqTLPSKZ+G3u+pd/iPqys3bTp8Xjrw
mH2qfp+AbJwOypmfdSf8fpcwZOjPK8134ujDzcdJUmTc4Py5W+j+NPRiruSwPmBzUDaUdbmc7zO5nlz/
JG8WrcL8blB8Q==
X-SONIC-DKIM-SIGN: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=yahoo.com; s=s2048; t=1658407484;
bh=sj/WuTT/z8jbQCpxorJ7F71XevmH3tLFw72uV4og9Kb=; h=X-Sonic-
MF:Date:From:To:Subject:From:Subject;
b=Bh0LkSdE3muJifMZSzF9FG+uZhG6JYv59l7lEXAd1AU8gIJLkVVoKHnY4yTXS7G9jELqIsuqyOdmHx7dN
uiiuWYT6oAEhX70EAwtT5EnASQo5V7par9oZQFoQcDptYsP8VJRSy8tVJ+9HySAkEDZG0/77Rz7412FYS
2IYI0o+H9hI4zp5rUPoUJ4vImbJGpFzml43lAlHHYxbK/5Yi+Lo34oVUAuC2MoAfzfwS35IRAr3X3FqPcGsy
ScjB2YJ1f7Uh1dL2ntzyhfGFjQ+aTmL2A551EMXTJ0Ms/K4h169bNlFASo4QinlPGC6wZmQjEvIt18beC7/
kTiwZSMyD9N8A==
X-YMail-OSG: 04bT7zAVM1muHmwqLNcUCC4Ivts3DIJM_LSxM5FeHBV2WuoAGOplL.P7u4X3kFP
aLN_N31YGvwsABhw_mENNqP.yvkfnue.JXMSAc0qcohXIcBmLG_WQ8sVWg22BjoiPOvbLk..O47H
nPz15BMu06XRtAcxdvV9PEhXVvuC5o8igJzuPk0xsIkOFi6rd7kgDEgQ4P45ZJW7LlUpmgNic5hi
dQhhMTVNpGav4aJLE6pygwAIoBQhwrnKw1VdgZgh1xZebbmndGgqkFNXB9ct8g918GhNSv3BKYVR
0t4jrGStuJn5O5wvn487qtUI0.VEfFfWjpN13QF6kGbLlW41DCXXLTVSHebCwu0yHdEIoQ49g8ZH
KxJg2.VC9I6VvFcg69kdtLseqdp2WPlapjgsOzGAugBdJkSpecBxfFIl0XYOJNkUY51Z4XlXYIrx
5D3xxhkdzWiM0cMyrogWgp1C08HYnZKzHGh.Vkq1KitNJwaR3wHLjttmeJ79F4DJHrC5yF1BOf42
3iELYO8cLf61Z8DVXWr6w3sBG1c4FtwugQdOobQelTvbn3mYzaNPFK3FBKn14YsBBFyVYKEpun6f
OtU3L7ewDoXdKnTR1baA6RmPBG7Yqgn8KlvgcvExYk0KD6pPQfRjonbsJkc0FEUrTfRfpfhCvpiv
BeIi7MkhrZc_15oqpp5HbMa.OUucx4wtEo6DgBhhPEq8_IjemTZcMPN54DAFEyEE0f5HAGDbEIVk
kIi_4mzykS8rB898f8IQL538rrmyPJcaJG3Jd7mn4FKODZjikGykVczagLrRSxV5kerlnuZncR3b
d5DTHFiKHq0tBiwge4f99t3yPZ4NM7IJD8.0i3q8TYCU8d06louBSPyYih2w9vlLRoRq2exXgO11
tpOGV5NlWZi0ygmbMLzCcNfwhWpADQLsovUcPxChxoQDfjQBkZ12XX6sOqlzNFRXbzOU6I.mQJll
KjdCn4b9LgEGoFiuvWTMYNLfyJxNB_N6NWKi6T7XOB2SlInJdSB1Tu3ZjHJS2tGnsBiOGY9iSRaG
bUnNn9lZb7E9m2tDWu6oyvwJE2DLcMiQc15MKc3mexMAnJ84CQGwUHt_TgX3wTota_aBCYEF4tes
Wq1HORU0Hd0wjtCH2o3tULp8hM.siT0LNn16zC5v0PF.6Nf_tRO_KF8YeyPB4ZDrbQHtT6J4L2X0
WLagVltQ5qxOma90i7W600aJiKtPStxk5m_zMm6e7nTDhikKhnyA50ZqhG8baTnUBDXUGfykuFLo
_vewqZw9nUVaRVBqTEQWuhUWr9zWthtJ5oruEbxozutAuwJz67udr2HRjb8Elkcn_LSVqqfPpGU0
z5DFQMJLLSAyOmssLfVdAUT78R8Z2ufOtucec168IPP4n3pBycJkvaCFJqWvppG.vKgHqyty9JVn
m58j.4aGdrdF_QtmNEk36x6zsp.x2PlICeL_7PTrVzFrC1mfdNoiVh61y5meGFSxngungdjCxdLT
D59j0nmweUmaBKGDi1gY.mm7zsz7hvuz5t1J1fLns9YBfQBcTG2MGzUwQxMd6fm0x4bcJVlCWi.N
.DMxdj7WOFx0flHrf2ax74NEF2QRoPmISrJb7b4Qyt.v843iOAW0MwGwiToOdnwSkD5Ge0.GmNmf
xgBmMz8AVyOW0jET3sN99P0NLGfxwvRalAafBDa57oqE1QwdPJBelf.v5WMpcqlmegxTIo._B4rg
l6QzK7cZt4tGVlUkiz6jciQrgLjQPo4v3k0Vq4ssLjzaXOcPDUUD3sLxqHikqnNDqZnLatBONI7n
2AXju0pE6fNy1ejEhkTKAiMzpp34m1FfVZesJrhELICKC_6RBYLNjOqZX6Uv8DDyO1.gFv8BJK4D
80Fe1ktBc3ak0k2cFWJoOwsv1jH_pfBhWOCMAx8zBj7soZ6ZtrcdXDiRlVfHr5NltYIF0JeKC5K_
MojfsejwaNAshJV9K8WSX0t4yjFFxEt2rDPZakl0ppC6.lFfytLxB3RISmyZN0.9rBD1QbUC86Jo
etYS4hVnGURMbBpP8cGK.aefuRTyPw57d3cn3Ep8nl8GX0hewjAIynFXJcL.TI48yeKbtp_vQeIH
JLQ29HS_M1MLF3SIxMTCMWwk4ZAg0y7dxICYxJxGG5WS_UY3dCMRr5.FUnvZN5b4LNjvGJA576_q
v654HXveKP5tgGYndjx7bBcZg9Fo-
X-Sonic-MF: <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
Received: from sonic.gate.mail.ne1.yahoo.com by sonic304.consmr.mail.ir2.yahoo.com with HTTP;
Thu, 21 Jul 2022 12:44:43 +0000
Date: Thu, 21 Jul 2022 12:44:35 +0000
From: Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>

To: PSD Complaints Kent <psd.complaints@kent.police.uk>,
"operational.complaints.manager.north@kent.police.uk"
<operational.complaints.manager.north@kent.police.uk>, Paul Nichols PC
46015002 <paul.nichols2@kent.police.uk>, "11285@kent.police.uk"
<11285@kent.police.uk>, "civil.claims@kent.pnn.police.uk"
<civil.claims@kent.pnn.police.uk>, Panigrahi Rashmi
<rashmipanigrahi2000@yahoo.co.uk>, Vikki Randall <vikki@megancic.org.uk>,
Enquiries Kent <enquiries@kent.police.uk>, "resourcingteam@tesco.com"
<resourcingteam@tesco.com>, Enquiries Medway County
<enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk>, Office of Graham Brady
<altsale@parliament.uk>, "southeastvrrandcomplaints@cps.gsi.gov.uk"
<southeastvrrandcomplaints@cps.gsi.gov.uk>, CPSContact
<cpscontact@cps.gov.uk>
Message-ID: <1556974348.491573.1658407477275@mail.yahoo.com>
In-Reply-To: <1674217697.309209.1658395758589@mail.yahoo.com>
References: <1674217697.309209.1658395758589.ref@mail.yahoo.com>
<1674217697.309209.1658395758589@mail.yahoo.com>
Subject: Fw: UPDATE ON CLAIM j00ME572
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="----=_Part_491572_1932894788.1658407477274"
X-Mailer: WebService/1.1.20447 YMailNorrin
Content-Length: 8299412
Return-Path: shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com

Hide original message
Dear Sirs

The Medway County Court is not acknowledging the following proceedings for its own reasons, so that I am terminating my Injunction Application and Money Claims Application with immediate effect.

In light of this decision to terminate proceedings, I would make myself available fo Kent Police's further investigation of the harassment and stalking charge of Katrina Sale of the Conservative and Unionist Party, and will defend myself against it at a suitable Court. If there are other offences being considered to add on to this fundamental allegation that dates to 15 September 2021, I will also defend against it.

I shall be unrepresented by a Lawyer at any such proceedings.

Please updated me on what is going on in respect of this matter.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To: Enquiries Medway County <enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk>
Cc: Orla Scanlan <orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, 21 July 2022 at 10:29:21 BST
Subject: UPDATE ON CLAIM j00ME572

To
The Court Manager
Medway County Court

Dear Sir/Madam

I know that the Court has insisted that I as Claimant should not impose deadlines on the attached Injunction and Money Claims proceedings but it was the Court's own deadline for submission of Defence by 13 June 2022 or the consideration of the 29 May 2022 application from BLM Law to have the Claim struck out. The Court has not yet made a decision on both aspects of the Claim, and whilst I fully understand that there may be hundreds of Cases in the Court pending Listing so that there may be up to 10 weeks from 13June 2022 for a decision to be announced, I would be grateful for an acknowledgement of this email for legal purposes so that I can make the appropriate arrangements to my prospective empoyer Tesco at Courtney Road Gillingham during my appointment for an interview tomorrow at 15.00 hours for a part-time job that I am keen on. As you know the Tesco has asked whether I have any criminal convictions that need to be disclosed and I have provisionally indicated that the answer is No on the grounds that even the Speeding Offence that I had to pay a Magistrate Court issued fine several years ago is being appealed through the Injunction and Money Claims application.

I am copying this email to BLM Law's Orla Scanlan to keep Kent Police informed that the proceedings will not go away

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
(Claimant)
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Document_2022-05-13_152026.pdf 5.9MB

UPDATE ON CLAIM j00ME5724
Yahoo
/
Inbox
Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>

To:
Medway County, Enquiries Court
Cc:
Orla Scanlan
Thu, 21 Jul at 15:20
Dear Sir
My decision was final. I have already submitted my tentative defence to the Crown Prosecution Service Postmaster as attached, but needs to be ratified:
FrPostmaster@CrownProsecutionService21Jul2022) if you would kindly oblige.
Yours sincerely
Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Hide original message
On Thursday, 21 July 2022 at 13:57:23 BST, Medway County, Enquiries
<enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk> wrote:
Good Afternoon,

The Court has received your email.

Kind Regards,
Nic
Civil Section - Medway County Court
HMCTS
Medway County and Family Court, 9-11 The Brook, Chatham, ME4 4JZ
Phone:01634 887900
Web: www.gov.uk/hmcts
For Information regarding COVID-19 and HMCTS, please click here: COVID-19

For information on how HMCTS uses personal data about you please see:
https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hm-courts-and-tribunals-service/about/personal-information-charter

From: Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
Sent: 21 July 2022 10:29
To: Medway County, Enquiries <enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk>
Cc: Orla Scanlan <orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com>
Subject: UPDATE ON CLAIM j00ME572
To
The Court Manager
Medway County Court
Dear Sir/Madam

I know that the Court has insisted that I as Claimant should not impose deadlines on the attached Injunction and Money Claims proceedings but it was the Court's own deadline for submission of Defence by 13 June 2022 or the consideration of the 29 May 2022 application from BLM Law to have the Claim struck out. The Court has not yet made a decision on both aspects of the Claim, and whilst

I fully understand that there may be hundreds of Cases in the Court pending Listing so that there may be up to 10 weeks from 13June 2022 for a decision to be announced, I would be grateful for an acknowledgement of this email for legal purposes so that I can make the appropriate arrangements to my prospective empoyer Tesco at Courtney Road Gillingham during my appointment for an interview tomorrow at 15.00 hours for a part-time job that I am keen on. As you know the Tesco has asked whether I have any criminal convictions that need to be disclosed and I have provisionally indicated that the answer is No on the grounds that even the Speeding Offence that I had to pay a Magistrate Court issued fine several years ago is being appealed through the Injunction and Money Claims application.

I am copying this email to BLM Law's Orla Scanlan to keep Kent Police informed that the proceedings will not go away

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
(Claimant)
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Attached:
FrPostmaster@CrownProsecutionService21Jul2022.docx 20.8kB

PREPARATION FOR THE HEARING

Particulars of Damages and Compesation (Claim J00ME372).pdf 119.8kB:

Particulars of Claim Compensation Breakdown for Claim J00ME352:
This Claim is brought to the High Court against Kent Police and co-conspirators (officials in Her Majesty's Court and Tribunal Service, Lawyers, the Legal Ombudsman and the Independent Police Complaints Commission) for the following chargesheet against this Police Force:

(a) protecting criminals on my submission of Internet Complaint hate crime by Phands and MI5: £250,000
(b) protecting criminals on the Shell Tribunal matter; Shell Company: £5,000
(c) protecting criminals in the UKIP proceedings matter; UKIP £1000
(d) protecting criminals in the Greenwich Legalities matter; University of Greenwich back pay £30,000*24 years on 20 October 2022: £720,000.
(e) protecting criminals in the National Health Service directed crimes against me; (£1,000,000
(f) protecting criminals in the AuthorhouseUK book publication matter; £3,000.
(g) protecting the Legal Ombudsman from criminal activities against me with regard to several lawyers that I complained about; Legal Ombudsman (£100,000)
(h) Dover capture by Kent Police in 2004 to incarcerate me in a mental hospital without due reason; £50,000.
(i) capturing me at home and under handcuffs returning me to the mental hospital from where I had lawfully absconded; (£10,000)
(j) pointless prosecution of speeding offence that I was collecting money to discharge in January 2017; £1,000
(k) protecting court officials who gave me an unjustified criminal record with the processing of the speeding offence at Medway Magistrates Court; (£1,000)
(l) protecting the Labour Party in its hate crime of denying me my membership rights to submit Motions, and Questions to the Prime Minister; (£1,000)
(m) protecting the Prime Minister of the United Kingdom, Mr Boris Johnson who obstructed and perverted the course of justice against the Claimant in Claim E35YM660 of the Central London County Court; (£10,000)
(n) protecting the Prosecutor at the International Criminal Court for thwarting justice in Claim No Court Reference: OTP-CR-76/22; (£10,000)
(o) protecting the Norway membership of the Security Council from bringing the submissions of the Claimant to the attention of the Security Council, the General Assembly of the United Nations and the Secretary General of the United Nations; (£50,000)
(p) protecting Wordpress.com internet service providers in unfairly and criminally suspending two of the Claimant's Blogs https://shantanup.wordpress.com and https://towardsknowledgeforworldconservation.com; £100,000.
(q) Framing false allegations of harassment and stalking by me against Katrina Sale and BP that it knew I had nothing to do with and that it was organised by the State Security Services within the UK and in the United States of America; false arrest and confiscation of our family computer and Mobile Phone devices. (£1,000,000).
(r) BP, ASDA, Sainsburys and Tesco employment terminations unfairly
Total Claimed from Kent Police:

a	250,000
b	5000
c	1000
d	720000
e	1000000

f	3000
g	100000
h	50000
i	10000
j	1000
k	1000
l	1000
m	10000
n	10000
o	50000
p	100000
q	1000000
r	100000
Total	3,412,000

Acknowledgment of Service on J00ME5722
Yahoo
/
Sent
Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com
Mon, 23 May at 11:16

Hide original message
----- Forwarded message -----
From: Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To: orla.scanlan@blm.law.com <orla.scanlan@blm.law.com>
Sent: Monday, 23 May 2022, 11:05:34 BST
Subject: Acknowledgment of Service on J00ME572

To
Orla Scanlon
BLM
30 Fenchurch Street
London
EC3M 3BL
T: +44 (0)20 7638 2811
F: +44 (0) 20 7920 0361

DX 33861
FINSBURY SQ
blmlaw.com

Direct Line: +44 (0)20 7865 3306
Your Reference: OXS/150942/72

Dear Ms Scanlon

In the past 10 minutes I have received your letter dated 19 May 2022 together with the Acknowledgment of Service as attached: Document_2022-05-23_103608.pdf in relation to the Injunction and Claim Form that I received from Medway County Court as attached: Document_2022-05-13_1520026.pdf

I wish to draw your attention to the anomaly at an early stage so that we may be able to come to a common understanding of the procedures that will be necessary for me to formulate the full particulars of Claim that the Claim Form states are to follow. Not knowing that I would receive an acknowledgment of service I had posted the following blogpost to bring to the attention of all those parties named as co-conspirators to Kent Police but not named as Defendants iin the Claim Form due to lack of space in the Form and because when I submitted my Claim I was not sure whether the Medway County Court has jurisdiction to hear the matter. Running Commentary on Legal Proceedings (J00ME372) 9.09 am (UK-Time) Update (knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com) https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/running-commentary-on-legal-proceedings-j00me372-9-09-am-uk-time-update. I would accordingly be grateful if you would let me know if the Chief Constable has any objections to what is suggested in the Particulars of Claim as currently developed.

As regards the Injunction matter, kindly forward me the Summary of indicating offence that the Chief Constable has been investigating me for since 15 September 2021 and following my arrest on 24 November 2021, together with the Crime Reference Number to the matter, and what its future plans are as whether the matter has been referred to the Crown Prosecution Service by Kent Police. In either event, I need by email all the 30 odd Katrina Sale malicious emails that I was investigated for in terns of harassment and stalking in relation to the document issued to me on the latter date, as attached: NorthKentPoliceReleasewithoutbail.pdf'

I thank you in advance for your cooperation in identifying whether it was M15 that Kent Police was acting as an agent for over the 24 years of torture and victimisation that I have been subjected to as the alleged Hate Crime cited in the Injunction application document.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
United Kingdom
Tel: 07967789619

Download all attachments as a zip file
(a) Document_2022-05-23_103608.pdf 1.9MB
(b) Document_2022-05-13_152026.pdf5.9MB
(c)NorthKentPoliceReleasewithoutBail.pdf 1.2MB

(a)
(Page 1 0f 4)
From:
BLM
Contact: Orla.Scanlan
30 Fenchurch Street

London
EC3M 3BL
T: +44 (0)20 7638 2811
F: +44 (0) 20 7920 0361

DX 33861
FINSBURY SQ
blmlaw.com

Direct Line: +44 (0)20 7865 3306
Your Reference: OXS/150942/72

Confidential – 1
66967523v1
19 May 2022

Dr S. Paigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent
ME8 0SL

BY POST ONLY

Dear Sirs

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi v Chief Constable of Kent Police

We are instructed to act on behalf of the Chief Constable of Kent Police and have been passed the unsigned Claim Form issued on 29 April 2022; the unsigned sealed Application for injunction against Kent Police dated 7 April 2022 and the Defence Statement on Harassment Offence'' dated 15 September 2021.

We attach by way of service an Acknowledgment of Service and Notice of Acting which have been filed at County Court at Medway.

Please ensure that all future correspondence is directed to this office quoting our reference OXS/150942/72.

To ensure that matters are dealt with expeditiously we suggest corresponding via email. Please see the writers details above.

If you are in agreement, please provide an email address?

Yours faithfully
Blm

BlM

Enc: As above

(Page 2 0f 4)
Notice of Acting

In the County Court at Medway
Claim No. J00ME572
Claimant Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
Defendant: Chief Constable of Kent Police REF: OXS/150942/72

TAKE NOTICE that we BLM of 30 Fenchurch Street, London, EC3M 3BL, have been instructed to act on behalf of the Chief Constable of Kent Police and will accept service of all proceedings at the above address.

Signed Blm
Solicitors for the defendant(s)

18 May 2022
Address to which documents about this claim should be sent
BLM
30 Fenchurch Street
London
EC3M 3BL

Tel: no 0207 638 2811
Fax no. 0207 920 0361
DX no. DX 33861 Finsbury Sq
e-mail orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com
Our Ref OXS/150942/72

The court office at
Is open between 10 am and 4 pm Monday to Friday. Address all communications to the Court Manager quoting the claim number.

(Page 3 of 4)

Acknowledgment of Service
Defemdant’s full name if different form the name given on the claim form:

In the County Court at Medway
Claim No. J00ME572
Claimant Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
Defendant Chief Constable of Kent Police REF: OXS/150942/72

Address to which documents about this claim should be sent (including reference if appropriate)

BLM
30 Fenchurch Street
London
EC3M 3BL
Tel. no. 0207 638 2811

Fax no 0207 920 0361
DX no. DX: 33861 Finsbury Sq
E-mail orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com
Our Ref. OXS/150942/72

Tick the appropriate box
1. I intend to defend all of this claim X
2. I intend to defend part of this claim
3. I intend to contest jurisdiction

If you file an acknowledgment of service but do not file a defence within 28 days of the date of service of the claim form, or particulars if served separately, judgment may be entered against you.

If you do not file an application to dispute the jurisdiction of the court within 14 days of the date of filing this acknowledgment of service. It will be assumed that you accept the court's jurisdiction and judgment may be entered against you.

(My) Defendant's date of birth is

Signed Blm
Position o office held (if signing on behalf of firm or company: Partner
Date: 19/05/2022

The court office at
Is open between 10 am and 4 pm Monday to Friday. Address all communications to the Court Manager quoting the claim number.

(Page 4 0f 4)
No response pack

(b)
Letter from Medway County Court received on 13 May 2022
Page 1 of 5
Notice of Issue
(non-money claim)

In the County Court at Medway
Claim Number J00ME572
Claimant: Shantanu Panigrahi
Defendant: Hugh Pughesley
Issue Fee: £332

Your Claim was issued on 29 April 2022
The court sent it to the defendant by first class post on 12/05/22 and it will be deemed served on 16/05/22. The defendant has until 30/05/22 to reply.

Notes for guidance
*The claim form and the particulars of claim, if served separately, must be served on the defendant within 4 months of the date of issue (6 months if you are serving outside England and Wales). You may be able to apply to extend the time for serving the claim form but the application must generally be made before the 4 month or 6 month period expires.

* You must inform the court immediately if your claim is settled or discontinued.
The defendant may

- Admit the truth of the whole or any part of your claim. The cout will send you a copy of the defendant's admission and tell you what to do next.
- File an acknowledge of service. This will allow the defendant 28 days from the date of service of your particulars of claim to file a defence or contest the court's jurisdiction.
- Dispute the whole claim. The court will send you a copy of the defence.
- Not reply at all. You may make an application to the court for judgment. A fee may be payable.

___

The Court Office at the County Court at Medway, Medway Civil and Family Court, The Court House, The Brook, Chatham, Kent, ME4 4JZ. When corresponding with the court, please address forms or letters to the Court Manager and quote the claim number. Tel: 01634 887900 Fax: 0870 324 0175.
Check if you can issue your claim online. It will save you time and money. Go to www.moneyclaim.gov.uk to find out more.

N205C Notice of Issue (non money claim)
Produced by: Joana Apetri
CJR179

(Page 2 of 5):

n16a-engInjuctionagainstKentPolice.pdf 432.4kB
Application for Injunction
(General Form)
Name of court Claim No. Medway County Court J00ME572
Claimant's Name and Ref. Shantanu Panigrahi
Defendant's Name and Ref. Kent Police
Fee Account no. HWF-9K4-EYL/HQ17X01773

Sealed: The County Court
Notes on completion
Tick which boxes apply and specify the legislation where appropriate
By application in pending proceedings Yes
Under Statutory provision Yes
This application is made under Part 8 of the Civil Procedure Rules Yes
Seal
This application raises issues under the Human Rights Act 1998 Yes No Yes
The Claimant(1)
(1) Enter the full name of the person making the application applies to the court for an injunction order in the following terms: Shantanu Panigrahi
The Defendant(2)
(2) Enter the full name of the person the injunction is to be directed to: Chief Constable of Kent Police, Hugh Pughesley must stop investigating the Claimant for any
offences that it has kept secret from the Claimant after 6 months of (assessment without an explanation to the Claimant
3) Set out any proposed (3) rders requiring acts to
be done. Delete if no mandatory order is sought.
The Defendant be forbidden (whether by himself or by instructing or encouraging or permitting

any other person)(4) The Chief Constable of Kent Police was served due notice by way of an arrest warrant issued that its harassment and intrusion into the private life of the Claimant constituted a Hate Crime against him
(4) Set out here the proposed terms of the injunction order (if the defendant is a limited company delete the wording in brackets and insert 'whether by its servants, agents, officers
or otherwise'). And that(5) The Claimant took out civil proceedings and criminal proceedings again Kent Police.
(5) Set out here any further terms asked for including provision for costs The grounds of this application are set out in the written evidence of(6) (6) Enter the names of al
persons who have sworn affidavits or signed statements in support of this application sworn (signed) on The Defendndant kept secret the full circumstances of the 1500 criminal anonymous emails received by the Claimant.
This written evidence is served with this application.
This application is to be served upon(7)
(7) Enter the names and addresses of all persons upon whom it is intended to serve this application
This application is filed by(8) Alan Pughesley, Chief Constable of Kent Police, Sutton Road, Maidstone, Kent.
(8) Enter the full name and address for service and delete as required
(the Solicitors for) the Claimant (Applicant/Petitioner) Claimant: Dr Shantanu Panigrahi, 3 Hoath Lane, Wigmore, Gillingham, Kent ME8 0SL. United Kingdom.
whose address for service is Signed Dated 7 April 2022
To* This section to be completed by the court *
Name and address of the person application is directed to of

This application will be heard by the (District) Judge
at
on the day of 20 at o'clock
If you do not attend at the time shown the court may make an injunction order in your absence
If you do not fully understand this application you should go to a Solicitor, Legal Advice Centre or a Citizens' Advice Bureau
The court office at
is open between 10am and 4pm Mon - Fri. When corresponding with the court, please address all forms and letters to the Court Manager and quote the claim number.
N16A General form of application for injunction (05.14) © Crown copyright 2014

(Page 3 of 5):

DEFENCE STATEMENT ON HARASSMENT OFFENCE
1. I have never ever sent an email from a Remailer, Austria anonymous email account, or a Nomen Nescio Account, or some other dizum account, or another anonymous email account to anyone whether an official at a government institution, or a private individual.
2. I have received hundreds of emails into my Gmail Phone Account from people like 38 Degrees, Internet Archive, and others which did not appear in my Desktop Gmail Account.
3. I have not sent any emails that has harassed anyone from my Shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com account: hundreds appear to have been sent to people involved with the organisation Victims of Panigrahi Association but clearly my Shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com account was highjacked to get me into legal difficulties with the Central London County Court in respect of Claim E35YM660.
4. My shanpanigrahi@yahoo.co.uk was bastardised by trolls and criminals so I could not send out any emails from this Account and had to abandon it a year ago. Any emails sent from this account was done by Victims of Panigrahi Association associated trolls and criminals.

5. All my purposeful emails and I have sent out thousands over the past 20 years were legitimate court-associated legal proceedings in relation to ME010463, ME002953, HQ17X01773, and E35YM660.
6. Whenever a particular recipient of an email from me asked me not to send them any emails, I complied with the request unless court proceedings became prejudiced from my inaction.
7. Since I started my E35YM660 Claim against the Prime Minister and Victims of Panigrahi Association for its criminal anonymous emails to me notably from Sivaji Panesar, Marty Caine, Nitin Bhardwaj, Fritz Wueler, Cherie, Egregious_C, and others like Ed Mulhouse and Monneka Tahir, there was a systematic attempt to have me booted out of this country to places like Pakistan, because I was bringing Kent Police in a £5 million pound damages and compensation Claim in the High Court Queens Bench Division and brought the Crown Prosecution Service to East Kent Magistrates Court on a criminal charge of perverting the course of justice and obstructing justice. That is why I considered that the UK State through its Security Services had wanted to frame charges against me of forensic or criminal nature to have me incarcerated in a mental hospital for a third time or worse to get me committed to a Trial that the County Court in Central London arranged in a Pre-Trial Hearing but I was exonerated. I told my Consultant Psychiatrist that come what may I will never leave the United Kingdom, and this is the reason that Kent Police is charging me with a concocted summons for Harassment that is not specified to leave the State room for elaboration later. This is done to protect the Central London County Court from having to pass judgment or Order to my Appeal Application which the Court would have to justify that the University of Greenwich was lawful in withholding my £55,000 severance payment.
(Signed)
S.Panigrahi
5.28 pm 15 Sep 2021

(Page 4 of 5):
Claim Form
In the

Claim No J00ME572
Issue Date: 29/04/22/

Sealed The County Court
Claimant's Name and address including postcode:
Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL

Defendant's Name and Address including postcode:
Kent Police
Grugeon House,
Police Headquarters,
Sutton Road,
Maidstone
Kent ME15 9BZ

Brief details of claim:
This Claim is for compensation and damages suffered by me as the Claimant as a result of Kent Police's inaction and actions that has caused me much suffering since 2004.

Value
£100,000 up to £5 million

Preferred County Court Hearing Centre:

Defendants Name and address for service including postcode:
Kent Police
Grugeon House,
Police Headquarters,
Sutton Road,
Maidstone
Kent ME15 9BZ
Amount Claimed: £100, 000.00
Court Fee: £4,500.00
Total amount: £104,500.00

(Page 5 0f 5):
Does this Claim have any issues of interest in the Human Rights Act: Yes

Particulars of Claim: (attached/to follow):
This Claim is brought to the High Court against Kent Police and co-conspirators (officials in Her Majesty's Court and Tribunal Service, Lawyers, the Legal Ombudsman and the Independent Police Complaints Commission) against the following chargesheet against this Police Force:
(a) protecting criminals on my submission of Internet Complaint hate crime;
(b) protecting criminals on the Shell Tribunal matter;
(c) protecting criminals in the UKIP proceedings matter;
(d) protecting criminals in the Greenwich Legalities matter;
(e) protecting criminals in the National Health Service directed crimes against me;
(f) protecting criminals in the AuthorhouseUK book publication matter;
(g) protecting the Legal Ombudsman from criminal activities against me with regard to several lawyers that I complained about;
(h) Dover capture by Kent Police in 2004 to incarcerate me in a mental hospital without due reason.
(i) capturing me at home and under handcuffs returning me to the mental hospital from where I had lawfully absconded;
(j) pointless prosecution of speeding offence that I was collecting money to discharge in January 2017;
(k) protecting court officials who gave me an unjustified criminal record with the processing of the speeding offence at Medway Magistrates Court;
(l) protecting the Labour Party in its hate crime of denying me my membership rights to submit Motions, and Questions to the Prime Minister.

Statement of Truth:
I believe that the facts stated in these particulars of claim are true
Full Name: Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
Signature

Evidence that BLM Law is instructed by Kent Police to act on J00ME352
Yahoo
/

Sent
Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com
Cc:
Enquiries Kent,
civil.claims@kent.pnn.police.uk,
Enquiries Medway County,
Professional Ethics Advice Service
Tue, 24 May at 13:02
To
BLM Law
Dear Sirs
So far after receiving your letter in the post in which you asked me to give you my email for correspondence, I have sent you three emails, none of which got me a reply. I am therefore highly dubious that you have been instructed by Kent Police/Chief Constable of Kent Police to act on their behalf in defending my Injunction and Claim Form, and need evidence immediately in connection with my correspondence with the Court for which I bring the following link to your attention:
Running Commentary of Legalities (J00ME372) 10.56 am (UK-Time) 24 May 2022 (knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com)
https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/running-commentary-of-legalities-j00me372-10-56-am-uk-time-24-may-2022
Running Commentary of Legalities (J00ME372) 10.56 am (UK-Time) 24 May 2022
Withdrawal of Injunction and Claim Form (CLAIM J00ME372)2 Yahoo / Sent Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yah...

Failure to provide evidence will lead to a complaint being made to the Solicitors Regulation Authority of fraudulent conduct in the proceedings.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Proceedings
from: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
to: Katrina Sale <katrina@conservativepolicyforum.com>
date: 2 Dec 2021, 15:04
subject: Proceedings
mailed-by: gmail.com

To
Katrina Sale

Do you believe that you have a civil case against me for intrusion of privacy, or stalking or harassment by me in relation to around 25 emails that you might have received from this email account to cause you distress over the past three to four months? I am Stateless so by definition do

not belong to the State of the United Kingdom or any other State of the world and do not recognise the United Nations as a Union of those States.

Since the law separates legal matters into criminal law (violations of the functionality of the State in relation to its Constitution) and civil law (violation of personal rights to not being harassed or intimidated or stalked etc), being Stateless I cannot be prosecuted by the Kent Police in relation to the attached summons served on me.

But as a person who has social duties, rights, obligations to others, if you do feel that I have personally offended you in any manner since I joined the Conservative and Unionist Party and then left the Party, I am ready to see your evidence of what has happened in this regard since the Police read out approximately 27 of those documents to me over two interviews that took place.

In this regard the precedence has already been set in my civil claim against Mrs Theresa May when the County Court of Central London asked me yesterday to apply, not to appeal the 21 June Order of the Court but to have it set aside and I did so enquiring whether the costs to this Defendant of £4170 awarded in that Order will be repaid to me if the application to set aside the Order proved successful.

Similarly, I am copying this email to the Queens Bench Division of the High Court where I am reactivating my Claim against Kent Police on a civil case of £5 million in damages and compensation. Being Stateless does not allow me to pursue the criminal case against Kent Police against East Kent Magistrates Court but I await the Judgement of the Court on the progress of these civil Claims.

If you do decide to proceed with a civil case, I will deny that I have committed any civil offences towards you.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL

Attachments area
Kent Police Release without Bail Options.docs

(a)
BLM Law
30 Fenchurch Street, London, EC3M 3BL
T +44 (0)20 7638 2811 F +44 (0)20 7920 0361 DX 33861 FINSBURY SQ blmlaw.com
BLM is a trading name of Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP, a limited liability partnership registered in England under number OC340981, authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA Number 499736). The registered office is at 2 New Bailey Square, Stanley Street, Salford, M3 5GS where a list of members is available for inspection. BLM Ireland is affiliated to Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP and the partners are either members or employees of Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP. Partners of Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP are members or employees of Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP and the use of the term “partner” should not be construed as indicating that the individuals so designated have entered into partnership (within the meaning of the Partnership

Act 1890) with all or any of the individuals so designated or with any individuals and Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP. Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP is certified to Information Security Standard ISO 27001 (BSI certificate IS589484) and Lexcel, The Law Society of England and Wales's Practice Management Standard.

As you are aware, we are instructed to act on behalf of The Chief Constable of Kent Police.
Please find enclosed:
1. The Defendant's Application Notice dated 27 May;
2. Witness statement in support of the application dated27 May; and
3. Draft Order.
We confirm the same has been filed at court.
We put you on notice that the Defendant's application relieves the Defendant of the burden of filing and serving the defence. Pursuant to Rule 12.3(3) you cannot obtain default judgment until such time as the Defendant's application has been dealt with.

Yours faithfully

BLM
BLM
Encl. as above
Contact: Orla Scanlan
Direct Line: +44 (0)20 7865 3306
Email: orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com
Dr S. Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent
ME8 0SL
By post and email to: shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com
Our Ref: OXS/150942/72
Your Ref:
Confidential - 1
67124864v1
27 May 202

(b)
IN THE COUNTY COURT AT MEDWAY Claim No. J00ME572
B E T W E E N:
DR SHANTANU PANIGRAHI
Claimant
and
THE CHIEF CONSTABLE OF KENT POLICE
Defendant

____

[DRAFT] ORDER

____

BEFORE DISTRICT JUDGE [ ]
UPON HEARING THE CLAIMANT IN PERSON AND COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT
AND UPON CONSIDERATION OF THE DEFENDANT'S APPLICATION

DATED 27 MAY 2022
It is ordered that
1. The Claimant's case is struck out pursuant to CPR 3.4(2)(a).
2. Summary Judgment is ordered in favour of the Defendant.
3. The claim as pleaded is totally without merit.
4. The Claimant to pay the Defendant's costs to be summarily assessed in the sum of XX (statement of costs to follow)
Dated:

(c)
N244
Application notice
For help in completing this form please read the notes for guidance form N244Notes.
Find out how HM Courts and Tribunals Service uses personal information you give them when you fill in a form:
https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hmcourts-and-tribunals-service/about/personalinformation-charter
Name of court
COUNTY COURT AT
MEDWAY
Claim no.
J00ME572
Fee account no. (if applicable)
Help with Fees – Ref. no.
(if applicable)
PBA0087212 H W F - -
Warrant no.
(if applicable)
Claimant's name (including ref.)
Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
Defendant's name (including ref.)
The Chief Constable of Kent Police
OXS 150942.72
Date 27 May 2022
1. What is your name or, if you are a legal representative, the name of your firm?
BLM
2. Are you a Claimant Defendant Legal Representative
Other (please specify)
If you are a legal representative whom do you represent? The Defendant
3. What order are you asking the court to make and why?
An order striking out part or all of the Particulars of Claim pursuant to CPR 3.4(2)(a), because the Particulars of Claim do not set out reasonable
grounds for bringing a claim against the Defendant. Alternatively, the Claimant has no real prospect of succeeding on his claim against the Defendant, and there is no other compelling reason why the case should be disposed of at trial. Summary judgment should be entered against the
Claimant on the whole or part of his claim against the Defendant pursuant to CPR 24.2. The Claimant's attention is drawn to CPR 24.5(1).

The Claim as pleaded is totally without merit and the claimant is ordered to pay the defendant's costs to be summarily assessed in the sum of XXXX .(statement of costs to follow)
4. Have you attached a draft of the order you are applying for? Yes No
5. How do you want to have this application dealt with? at a hearing without a hearing
at a telephone hearing
6. How long do you think the hearing will last?
Is this time estimate agreed by all parties?
2 Hours Minutes
Yes No
7. Give details of any fixed trial date or period N/A
8. What level of Judge does your hearing need? District Judge
9. Who should be served with this application? The Claimant
N244 Application notice (08.18) 2
9a. Please give the service address, (other than details of
the claimant or defendant) of any party named in question 9.

(d)
Witness Statement on behalf of the Defendant
Orla Scanlan
1
st Witness Statement
Exhibits OS/1 – OS/6
27th May 2022
1
Claim No. J00ME572
IN THE COUNTY COURT AT MEDWAY
BETWEEN : -
SHANTANU PANIGRAHI
Claimant
-andTHE CHIEF CONSTABLE OF KENT POLICE
(incorrectly sued as 'KENT POLICE')
Defendant

WITNESS STATEMENT
OF ORLA SCANLAN

I, Orla Scanlan, of BLM, 30 Fenchurch Street, London, EC3M 3BL will says as follows:
1. I am a Partner at BLM and am the Solicitor with conduct of this claim on behalf of the Defendant. I make this witness statement in support of the Defendant's application for the Strike Out of the Claim pursuant to CPR 3.4 or for Summary Judgment pursuant to CPR 24.2
2. Where this statement is made from my own knowledge it is true. Where it is made from information supplied to me by others it is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
3. In summary, the Defendant contends that:
a. The Claim Form is largely incoherent, is almost entirely unparticularised and discloses no reasonable grounds for bringing the claim such that it should be struck out pursuant to CPR 3.4(2)(a) and (b);
b. The Claimant's conduct in the course of this litigation amounts to an abuse of process such that his claim ought to be struck out pursuant to CPR 3.4(2)(b);
c. The Claimant has failed to comply with a large number of relevant rules and practice directions in the presentation of his claim such that it should be struck out pursuant

to CPR 3.4(2)(c); and
d. The Claimant has no real prospect of succeeding on the claim and there is no other compelling reason why the case should be disposed of at a trial such that summary judgment should be entered in the Defendant's favour pursuant to CPR 24.2
4. To the extent that this is an application for summary judgment I draw the Claimant's attention to the terms of CPR 24.5 (as required by CPR PD 24):
(1) If the respondent to an application for summary judgment wishes to rely on written evidence at the hearing, he must –
(a) file the written evidence; and
(b) serve copies on every other party to the application, at least 7 days before the summary judgment hearing.
BACKGROUND
5. The Court may find the following summary of events to be of assistance.
6. In April 2021 a report was received by the Defendant's officers alleging that the Claimant had sent malicious communications. In May 2021 further allegations were made to the Defendant's officers. The allegations were that the Claimant was stalking and harassing an individual. An investigation began into all of the allegations.
7. Initially it was determined that it would be disproportionate to take further action against the Claimant as the victim did not feel under threat and considered that the Claimant's mental health might be the root cause of his behaviour. However, the Claimant continued to send further emails to the victim. In those circumstances the investigation was restated. In due course the Claimant was interviewed under caution (having attended voluntarily) and certain electronic devices were seized from him.

8. The Defendant's officers are still waiting for a forensic analysis to be completed of the Claimant's electronic devices. Once that process is complete it is envisaged that the matter will be referred to the Crown Prosecution Service for them to determine whether or not to charge the Claimant with a criminal offence.
9. Following the interviews conducted with the Claimant he has sent a large number of emails to Kent Police and made calls to Kent Police on 101.
10. The Defendant received a sealed Claim Form in this matter on 19th May 2022. The Defendant has also received an application for an injunction and a notice of issue. Surprisingly, the notice of issue (which I produce and exhibit to this statement as exhibit OS/1) is marked 'non money claim' and gives the name of the Defendant as "Hugh Pughesley".
11. After the Defendant filed an acknowledgement of service I received an email (which I produce and exhibit to this statement as exhibit OS/2) from the Claimant in which he said:
I wish to draw your attention to the anomaly at an early stage so that we may be able to come to a common understanding of the procedures that will be necessary for me to

formulate the full particulars of Claim that the Claim Form states are to follow. Not
knowing that I would receive an acknowledgment of service I had posted the following
blogpost to bring to the attention of all those parties named as co-conspirators to Kent
Police but not named as Defendants iin the Claim Form due to lack of space in the Form
and because when I submitted my Claim I was not sure whether the Medway County
Court has jurisdiction to hear the matter.Running Commentary on Legal Proceedings
(J00ME372) 9.09 am (UK-Time) Update (knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com). I
would accordingly be grateful if you would let me know if the Chief Constable has any
objections to what is suggested in the Particulars of Claim as currently developed.
12. Within the link to the Claimant's email OS/2, is a document "Particulars of Claim
Compensation Breakdown for Claim J00ME352" (which I produce and exhibit to this statement
as OS/3).
13. The following day I received another email (which I produce and exhibit to this statement as
Witness Statement on behalf of the Defendant
Orla Scanlan
1
st Witness Statement
Exhibits OS/1 – OS/6
27th May 2022
4
exhibit OS/4) from the Claimant in which he said:
In view of the lack of legal advice and cooperation from yourselves and the Medway
County Court in relation to the following communications:
ToOrlaScanlan(BLMlaw)KentPoliceKentPoliceClaim23May2022:
ToMedwayCountyCourtccOrlaScanalan(blmlaw)23May2022; my legal advice this morning
from my advisors Bark.com is to withdraw the Injunction application and the associated
Claim Form submitted immediately before Kent Police take any defensive measuires to
defend the applications
14. Later that day, the Claimant sent a further email (which I produce and exhibit as exhibit OS/5)
in which he said: "I have not withdrawn either of these claims and my Claim is a demand for
around £ 3.3 million pounds from Kent Police who employ the Chief Constable of Kent
Police".
15. Finally, on 25th May 2022, the Claimant sent me a further email (which I produce and exhibit as
exhibit OS/6). The email address used was address which the Claimant had not previously
corresponded with me. The email was in the following terms:
Your deceit in pretending I am withdrawing the injunction application and associated
claim when you know full well that email to you was a forgery arranged by PC Nicholls
and DCI Lee Neieles of Kent Police working with Dr Sivaji Panesar, phands alias Paul
Hands, Egregious_C, Monneka Tahir, MPC Sanya Shahid of W.Yorkshire and other
institutionalised criminal conspirators perhaps including yourself is about to result in a
private prosecution of you for fraud, perverting the cause of justice and also in
professional disciplinary action which should result in your being disbarred by the SRA
and defrocked and your firm also losing its practising license.
You have one opportunity to prevent this, and that is, before the end of this month,
you agree to an assignation with me to perform a basic heterosexual function to my
satisfaction. I have a very big danda and repeats you yourself will seek. I suggest the
Travelodge at Kings Cross to minimise the time spent by you, a busy lady. Or else I
Witness Statement on behalf of the Defendant
Orla Scanlan
1
st Witness Statement

can easily arrange for the kutia to be absent and we can use my 1st floor basement,
Room D at Shanti Niwas. It is your choice.
Take this decision wisely. My buccaneering lawyer Forz Khan is in the Cc. Any
travelling expenses you can charge to my debitcard …Which is it to be, madam,
prosecution or prostitution?
THE DEFENDANT'S POSITION
The Claim Form is incoherent.
6. CPR PD 3A ¶1.4 – 1.5 provide that:
The following are examples of cases where the court may conclude that particulars of
claim (whether contained in a claim form or filed separately) fall within rule 3.4(2)(a):
(1) those which set out no facts indicating what the claim is about, for example 'Money
owed £5000',
(2) those which are incoherent and make no sense,
(3) those which contain a coherent set of facts but those facts, even if true, do not
disclose any legally recognisable claim against the defendant.
A claim may fall within rule 3.4(2)(b) where it is vexatious, scurrilous or obviously illfounded.
7. In this instance the Claim Form and/or the OS/3 contain a series of bare assertions of unlawful
conduct on the Defendant's part, without any particularity. OS/3 is accompanied by a reference
to a blog post. Even if it were permissible for a claimant to plead a case by reference to material
appearing elsewhere (which, of course, it is not) the content of that blog post takes matters no

further. The Defendant is still left with no detail as to the facts relied upon by the Claimant and
no explanation as to how any cause of action is said to have arisen.
8. Indeed, given that all that the Claim really sets out is a broad allegation of conspiracy (with no
supporting facts or details mentioned) it can properly be characterised as scurrilous and
vexatious such that it also falls to be struck out as an abuse of process.
The claim has no merit
9. The Claimant's principle goal (per his application for injunctive relief) appears to be an order
that the Defendant's officers stop investigating him. This is, needless to say, not an order that
the County Court would make. In truth the Claimant is seeking a quashing order or mandatory
order of a type that would more properly be available in the High Court in the course of a
judicial review. It follows that even if the Claimant's case was coherent and particularised, he
would still have no reasonable grounds for bringing the claim in this manner.
Conduct
10. In the current edition of the White Book (¶3.4.3) the editors explain:
Although the term "abuse of the court's process" is not defined in the rules or practice
direction, it has been explained in another context as "using that process for a purpose
or in a way significantly different from its ordinary and proper use" (Attorney General v
Barker [2000] 1 F.L.R. 759, DC, per Lord Bingham of Cornhill, Lord Chief Justice). The
categories of abuse of process are many and are not closed.
11. In principle vexatious conduct and using the process of litigation to indulge in offensive
correspondence is capable of falling within Lord Bingham's formulation.

12. The content of exhibit OS/6, and the Claimant purported discontinuance of the claim followed by his reinstating it and accusing me of 'deceit' speak for themselves. The Court should conclude that the Claimant is pursuing this litigation in a manner that amounts to an abuse of process such that the Claim ought to be struck out.

Compliance with rules

13. The Claimant's Particulars of Claim do not contain a concise statement of the facts upon which he relies (contrary to CPR 16.2) and are not supported by a compliant statement of truth (contrary to CPR Pt 22). His Claim Form does not set out a 'concise statement as to the nature of the Claim' (contrary to CPR 16.2). He has also sought to plead a case by reference to documents located on the internet.

14. In the circumstances the Claimant's failure to follow rules and practice directions is so profound, and denies the Defendant any knowledge of what the case is actually about, that strike out is justified pursuant to CPR 3.4(2)(c).

Summary Judgment

15. The matters referred to at paragraphs ¶¶6 – 9 (above) also fulfil the test for Summary Judgment. Should the Court consider that the test for strike out is not met, it would nevertheless be right for summary judgment to be entered.

CONCLUSION

16. In all the circumstances the Claim Form disclose no reasonable grounds for bringing the claim and the Claimant has no prospect of success. The claim should be summarily dismissed and the Claimant ordered to pay the Defendant's costs.

Statement of truth

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true.

I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

Full Name: ......ORLA SCANLAN.........................

Witness Statement on behalf of the Defendant
Orla Scanlan
1
st Witness Statement
Exhibits OS/1 – OS/6
27th May 2022

Signed....................Orla....Scanlan.................

Dated……………..27 May 2022……….

Witness Statement on behalf of the Defendant
Orla

Referred by Orla Scanlon OXS/150942/72 and J00ME5722
Yahoo
/
Sent

Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
Contactcentre Solicitors Regulation Authority

Sat, 28 May at 07:57

Dear Sirs

I have received the following email from some well-wisher this morning that you may take into consideration in the disposal of the complaint against BLM law in relation to the contents of the link:
Running Commentary on Legalities (J00ME372) 19.36 pm (UK-Time) 27 May 2022 (knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com)
https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/running-commentary-on-legalities-j00me372-19-36-pm-uk-time-27-may-2022
Running Commentary on Legalities (J00ME372) 19.36 pm (UK-Time) 27 May 2022
COURT CLAIM NO: J00ME572 - Dr Shantanu Panigrahi v Chief Constable of Kent Police. Our ref:OXS/150942/722 Yahoo ...

Kindly let me know the time-scale within which I may receive your report for further guidance.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Hide original message
----- Forwarded message -----
From: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
To: "geri.ottewell@blmlaw.com" <geri.ottewell@blmlaw.com>; "orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com" <orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com>; "managing.partner@blmlaw.com" <managing.partner@blmlaw.com>; "shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com" <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>; "shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com" <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, 28 May 2022, 06:15:19 BST
Subject: Referred by Orla Scanlon OXS/150942/72 and J00ME572

Dear BLAM and Miss Ottewell

Re Orla Scanlan

You will notify the Managing Partner of BLM that your superior has by silence rejected my most reasonable offer to her which would have saved your clients at a minimum £ 1.65 million. She has another 48 hours after your receipt of this email to remedy this. If not, my offer is withdrawn and she will find that an urgent application for a bench arrest warrant against her is made in regard to her perjurious witness statement forwarded to me by you at 6:09 p.m. I will consider initiating civil

action against BLM for conspiracy to pervert the course of justice, theft, defamation and other crimes. Since you admit your firm has to close many of its offices, I will do this without delay and nonchalantly before you go into bankruptcy.

Is that understood? Orla must comply with my demands and requirements professional and personal or she will be taken to jail. For the personal part I may accept a substitute who meets my requirements. Please forward me your own photograph, I could not find one online.

I await your response, preferably by telephone to the number I provided to Orla previously by yahoo mail

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Referred by Orla Scanlon OXS/150942/72 and J00ME5723
Yahoo
/
Sent
Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
Enquiries Medway County Court
Sat, 28 May at 09:20

Dear Sirs

I reject the tentative Application submitted and filed in the Court by BLM Law yesterday evening that I received a copy of and responded accordingly as indicated: Running Commentary on Legalities (J00ME372) 19.36 pm (UK-Time) 27 May 2022 (knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com) https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/running-commentary-on-legalities-j00me372-19-36-pm-uk-time-27-may-2022

I would be grateful for a Hearing of this Application Notice submitted at the earliest date possible in light of the further evidence that I have received this morning of a conspiracy against me.

Yours sincerely

Hide original message

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Download all attachments as a zip file
n16a-engInjuctionagainstKentPolice20Apr2022.pdf 432.5kB
n1-eng KentPolice UpdateMay2022.pdf 200.6kB

Claim No. J00ME572 - PERJURY BY alleged DEFENCE COUNSEL
Inbox
Shantanu Panigrahi
28 May 2022, 23:49 (8 hours ago)
Dear BLM Law and others including Vicky at MEGAN SRA reference number 49973 I am notifying you I have applied via my counsel of record Mr Forz Khan instructed b

from: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
to: katie.marshall-bailey@tltsolicitors.com,
orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com,
contactcentre@sra.org.uk,
vex.litigation@governmentlegal.gov.uk,
Dr Babu Shan Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
cc: enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk
date: 29 May 2022, 08:23
subject: Re: Claim No. J00ME572 - PERJURY BY alleged DEFENCE COUNSEL
mailed-by: gmail.com
: Important mainly because it was sent directly to you.

Dear Sirs

This is a Cciminal anonymous email that I have received into my Gmail Account this morning arranged no doubt by Mi5, and should be discarded. I have not claimed that the Council for Defence in submitting its Application Notice to the Medway County Court has been perjurious and therefore take the submission on face value to be assessed by the District Judge at a Hearing (that I will attend as litigant in person) that I have applied for as attached: ToMedwayCountyCourt(Referred by Orla Scanlon)OXS_J00ME372)28May2022.docx.

Any comments on this assessment should be sent to me immediately.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham,
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

On Sat, 28 May 2022 at 23:49, Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear BLM Law and others including Vicky at MEGAN

SRA reference number 49973

I am notifying you I have applied via my counsel of record Mr Forz Khan instructed by Slater and Gordon and Mr Benny John for a striking-out notice on the perjury-ridden Witness Statement with Exhibits OS/1 – OS/6 of your former employee one Orla Scanlan on 27th May 2022.

Leave on the one hand the many lies in it, including ascribing the alleged work of the alleged night-time Dr Panigrahi to the day-time Dr Panigrahi, it is incomprehensible and even illiterate. Refer by way of illustration to part 9 there-of. This alleged lawyer Scamman under heading (9) as an example of her inmeritorious contention that my claim lacks full merit wrote "The Claimant's principle goal (per his application for injunctive relief) appears to be". Even a junior apprentice would know it should have been "principal", not "principle". Or did she let her partner Lalji Vekaria write this vexatious material? Is he also Kubla Khan from possiblynotarealdoctor90@yahoo.com the email from which the stalker decimal entrepreneur Katrina Halfpenny for Sale had her alleged Guildford Sheiling boyfriend send me harassing emails?

Or is Orla, who I suspect on the basis of information from Professor Em. Sir Ray Coker, could have latent connections to the University of Greenwich, now alleging forgery and deliberately wrote that inexactitude of principal to use later to wriggle out of culpability for her perjuries? At minimum, she is an unreliable inchoate witness and must be investigation for perjury and for obtaining her claimed legal qualifications via underhand or hand-under-petticoat means, it cannot have been legitimately? Unprincipled being the principal characteristic?

Her other buttermees jaanbhosadiwale allegations must be struck down and disregarded. She is part of the plot dating back to 1998 in http://alturl.com/wd9vd to have me declared a mental patient, which I am not, and I will show any suar-ke-bachhe who claims this what use can be made of alternaria on which I am a leading published world expert.

As a law-abiding citizen conscientiously going about my lawful duties in a principled, unpremeditated, unpreplanned and spontaneous manner, remaining calm in spite of the international institutional conspiracy, but aware that CCMCC deadline is 13 June 2022, the courts cannot allow this Mischief to prevail and must grant me summary judgment, and even custody for interrogation permitted by CPR 2.2a of this alleged solicitor under the supervision of the African Witch-Doctor Mwanche of Britton House and Hyda, the Duty Solicitor who has seemingly been abducted by the criminal morons in a Police State so as to deprive me of legal advice at this most critical of times for me.

Due to thefts of my electronic equipment by buccaneering Team 19 of the Government Legal Department, the criminal Jessica Dacosta and Zara Broadley-Johns leading Ravi Patel, Adele Miller, Ade Quiller-Couch, Gwen Wright, Tom Bartlett, Shitikant Singh, Susie Riches-Kapur, Stephen Green, Amanda Hoad, Greg Thomas and Nayantara Sriram as aided and abetted by W.C. Fields, Nicholls and now A/S Gary Semen, my ability to defend myself against the wicked green evil old Queen Witch has been deliberately compromised. This even after I petitioned for her not to be beheaded for her derelictions of duty and the malicious bastardisation of my spam folder so as I only get some emails on my mobile but not on my other hidden unhijacked computer, but only to be thrown into the Tower of Babel.

By process of law and CPR you are impelled to acknowledge receipt of this email without a delay before I go off-duty and day-time Shantanu takes over and I will deny sending this. Orla has missed her greatest opportunity in life. Instead, the preliminary response from the Professional Ethics division of the Solicitors Regulation Authority indicates my complaint against BLM Law for fraudulent misconduct, misappropriation, corruption, breach of GPDR in somehow obtaining my debit card details, abuse of process, contempts of court, and misrepresenting their position and authority in respect of their claimed client Hugh Pughesley, as named in my claim, while only presenting a letter of consent from Kent Police.

I will check by my 7-method on my clocks for indication from God of the opportune moment to discreetly send this, all my processes having been rendered more onerous because of the Home Invasion by Grunting Nicholls the Cruel. Is that clear enough? Until I clarify my form n1 as to particularisations, the amount claimed remains fluid and I reserve the power to escalate the demand from the discounted amount back to £ 50 million, with costs and my proceedings bearing every conceivable prospect of success.

After I soon prevail in court, I will refer all of you to the United Nations for war crimes carried out against me for 24 years. Only Orla will be excepted provided again she swiftly complies. I generously and fairly offer to Orla or a substitute who meets my exacting requirements, one very final opportunity to satisfy me. That extension expires on Gurupurnima.

If by some miscarriage I am denied justice then I hereby irrevocably once again renounce my British citizenships and passports, also for my spouse in respect of whom I hold a Durable Power of Attorney, and so place myself out of the reach of the jurisdiction of your courts and collection bailiffry.

Yours sincerely
Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
Shanti Niwas
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

unattached Panigrahi -Start of process letter.pdf
Attachments area

Update: I checked my Santander Current Account on Online Banking and found that a cheque transaction had taken place for around £1200 this morning that did not issue to anyone, It was similar to the two fraudulent cheques that Santander paid out on a few months ago. I telephoned Sanbtander but the office was not open at before 8.00 am. So I went into the email and picked out the email address of phising@santander.com to register the complaint by email before doing anything else and before 9.00 am office opening time:

FRAUD REPORTED
from: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
to: phishing@santander.co.uk
date: 30 May 2022, 07:36
subject: FRAUD REPORTED
mailed-by: gmail.com

Dear Santander

I have never issued this cheque to anyone: It is clearly a Fraud:

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore

Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Account Number 11199612 Sort Code: 09-01-27

30/05/2022	PAYMENT BY CHEQUE WITH SERIAL NO 022956	£1,219.00	-£817.02

Automatic reply: FRAUD REPORTED
Inbox

from: Phishing - Santander UK (customers) <phishing@santander.co.uk>
to: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
date: 30 May 2022, 07:36
subject: Automatic reply: FRAUD REPORTED
mailed-by: mx2.santander.co.uk
Signed by: santander.co.uk
security: Standard encryption (TLS) Learn more
Please note this is an automated mailbox and you will not receive a response to your email or any subsequent replies.
Thank you for reporting this email. The email will be investigated and appropriate actions on phishing will be taken where possible, but we will not be able to examine or respond to any other matters. If your email is about any other matter, please use the following details to contact us:
https://www.santander.co.uk/personal/support/contact-us
If you think you've responded to a phishing email, given your details out to the wrong people or fallen victim to a fraud or scam, please contact us on 0800 9 123 123, or +44 1512 648 725 from outside the UK (available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week).
If you are not a customer of Santander, please contact your own bank directly for further advice.
Your security is important to us – please read the following information carefully.
Fraudsters may send fake emails (phish), texts (smish), or ring you (vish), often pretending to be Santander or another official organisation, in order to encourage you to reveal personal or security information, such as passwords and card details, or coerce you into making a payment.
If you receive a communication like this, please be sure to:

· Think before you click or reply – messages may appear genuine but on closer inspection contain errors, have a strange tone of voice and contain suspicious links which are give-aways to fake emails.
· Never share a Santander One Time Passcode (OTP) with another person. Not even a Santander employee.
· Never download software or let anyone log on to your devices remotely following or during a cold call.
· Never enter your Online Banking details after clicking on a link in an email or text message.

If you want to learn more about how to stay safe online, you can find useful information at https://www.santander.co.uk/personal/support/fraud-and-security.

I telephoned Santander again at 11.00 am, lady answered. She asked for my last three digits of Security No. Got through that Security. Told her I received an email from Santander that my Account has gone into over draft and checked my bank There was a transaction this moring Serial Number Cheque 022956 for £1219 bring my balance to -817.2. I need to know who presented this cheque to the Bank. She said t has been reversed. I said there were two similar incidents a view months ago and I never receive a report from Samtander Bank on those for two £985 transactions police will have to be brought in I want to take court action. She said she will have to put me through to Security.

Security She kept me hanging on too long so I cut off the line.

I checked my Current Account again:

30/05/2022	UNPAID CHEQUE	£1,219.00	£401.98
30/05/2022	PAYMENT BY CHEQUE WITH SERIAL NO 022956	£1,219.00	-£817.02

11.30 am (UK-Time) 30 May 2022

Harassment matter 150942.72 [BLM-LAW.FID10005113]
Yahoo
/
Inbox
Comply <comply@blmlaw.com>
To:
shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com,
nobody@dizum.com
Wed, 1 Jun at 16:58
Dear Sir,
Please see the attached letter and Pre-Action Protocol.
Yours faithfully,
BLM

Download all attachments as a zip file
(a) 2022.06.01 Dr Panigrahi letter out.pdf 147.6kB
(b) Pre-action Protocol for Media and Communications Claims - Civil Procedure Rules.PDF 146.7kB
(a) BLM Law
30 Fenchurch Street, London, EC3M 3BL
T +44 (0)20 7638 2811 F +44 (0)20 7920 0361 DX 33861 FINSBURY SQ blmlaw.com
BLM is a trading name of Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP, a limited liability partnership registered in England under number OC340981, authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA Number 499736). The registered office is at 2 New Bailey Square, Stanley Street, Salford, M3 5GS where a list of members is available for inspection. BLM Ireland is affiliated to Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP and the partners are either members or employees of Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP. Partners of Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP are members or employees of Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP and the use of the term “partner” should not be construed as indicating that the individuals so designated have entered into partnership (within the meaning of the Partnership Act 1890) with all or any of the individuals so designated or with any individuals and Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP. Berrymans Lace Mawer LLP is certified to Information Security Standard ISO 27001 (BSI certificate IS589484) and Lexcel, The Law Society of England and Wales’s Practice Management Standard.
This letter is intended to set out our employees’ case under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 (“the PHA”) and the Malicious Communications Act 1988 (“the MCA”) and to give you notice of our intention to make an application to the High Court in London on their behalf for an injunction to prohibit you from continuing your campaign if you do not agree to bring it to an end immediately. This letter of claim is written pursuant to the Pre-Action Protocol for Media and Communications Claims which we attach for your ease of reference.

Background

As you are aware, on 19 May 2022 we wrote to you by post (at 3 Hoath Lane, Wigmore, Gillingham, Kent ME8 0SL) confirming that we are instructed by the Chief Constable of Kent Police ("Kent Police"). We served a Notice of Acting and an Acknowledgement of Service form in relation to proceedings that you have brought against Kent Police.
On 24 May 2022 there was an exchange of emails between you and ourselves. The emails from you were all sent from your email address shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com. Those emails are summarised below:
1. at 13:03 you sent an email questioning whether we were instructed by Kent Police in relation to your claim and your application for an injunction against Kent Police;
2. at 13:09 we emailed you confirming that we are instructed on behalf of the Chief Constable of Kent Police;
3. at 13:20 you emailed professional.ethics@sra.org.uk alleging that we had fraudulently represented to you that we act for Kent Police and that you would make a complaint against us for doing so;

By Email Only: shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com
and nobody@dizum.com
Dr S. Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent
ME8 0SL
Our Ref: WAD/TGS/150942.72

1 June 2022
Dear Sir,
LETTER OF CLAIM
This letter of claim is written in relation to a campaign of harassment against BLM's employees the main component of which has been unwanted, offensive and threatening communications that they have received since 25 May 2022.
2
4. at 13:31 you emailed us seeking confirmation that we were acting for Kent Police in relation to your claim and your application for an injunction against Kent Police;
5. at 14:16 we emailed you confirming receipt of the email that you had sent to us at 07:54 that day (in which you confirmed your intention to withdraw your application for an injunction and your claim against Kent Police);
6. at 15:13 you sent an email to us stating that you were withdrawing the complaint that you had made about us to the Solicitors Regulation Authority and confirming that you had not withdrawn your complaint against Kent Police.

The campaign
Following our exchange of communications with you on 24 May 2022 (at your email address shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com) we received several emails which purport to be written on your behalf, and which refer to your claim against Kent Police. Those emails were sent to us from the address nobody@dizum.com which is a server that we understand is used to receive and send messages anonymously.
The emails sent to us since 25 May 2022 from the address nobody@dizum.com have caused our employees alarm and distress.

We set out below (by way of example) some of those emails as evidence of the campaign of harassment against our employees and ourselves (and reserve the right to include additional evidence of the campaign against our employees and ourselves, should this be necessary).
On 25 May 2022 at 08:03 we received an email from the address nobody@dizum.com “On Behalf of Shantanu Panigrahi” entitled ‘Final proceedings and choice for Orla’. The email was also sent to erteam1@bp.com;
Fk@TheChambersofFKhan.co.uk; shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com and shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com. The email makes allegations against our employee of deceit, fraud, perverting the course of justice and threatens that professional disciplinary action will be taken against our employee. The email also states:
“You have one opportunity to prevent this, and that is, before the end of this month, you agree to an assignation with me to perform a basic heterosexual function to my satisfaction. I have a very big danda and repeats you yourself will seek. I suggest the Travelodge at Kings Cross to minimise the time spent by you, a busy lady. Or else I can easily arrange for the kutia to be absent and we can use by 1st floor basement, Rom D at Shanti Niwas. It is your choice…Take this decision wisely…Which is it to be, madam, prosecution or prostitution?”
On 28 May 2022 at 06:15 we received an email from nobody@dizum.com ‘on behalf of: Shantanu Panigahi’ (which was also sent to shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com and shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com). The email states that:
“…Orla must comply with my demands and requirements professional and personal or she will be taken to jail. For the personal part I may accept a substitute who meets my requirements. Please forward me your own photograph I could not find one online...”.
On 28 May 2022 at 23:49 we received an email from nobody@dizum.com ‘on behalf of Shantanu Panigrahi’ (which was also sent to katie.marshall-bailey@TLTsolicitors.com; contactcentre@sra.org.uk; vex.litigation@governmentlegal.gov.uk and shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com). The email states that:
“I generously and fairly offer Orla or a substitute who meets my exacting requirements, one very final opportunity to satisfy me…”.
3 On 29 May 2022 at 11:43 we received an email from nobody@dizum.com (which was also sent to orlascanlan@gmail.com; shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com; shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com). The email states
that:
“ …I pay you one hundred thousand in cash in the manner you nominate, confidentially. In return, you will surrender your body for my use for agreed periods…Do not delay because I will also approach Stephanie Harrison. You will know…if you don’t cooperate it will not go well for you”.
On 31 May 2022 at 07:47 we received an email from nobody@dizum.com ‘on behalf of: Shantanu Panigrahi’ (which was also sent to electoralservices@medway.gov.uk; peter@peteredwardslaw.com and shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com). The email states that:
“…the failings of this Orla who deliberately committed a racial discrimination against me…Her career is over…this Orla X is a criminal stooge…fails to meet the professional standards set for solicitors…I could have got your address too and made it a pleasant spontaneous surprise for you…I covertly obtained your photograph, Geri.
I so declare that I have no further interest in Scanman but I intend to attend at your home premises to conclude our transaction…I hope all that is clearly understand and look forward to engaging with you and not have to waste my time cloaking my communication with you, Geri”.
The legal position We have reported the campaign of harassment against our employees and ourselves to the Police.
Your campaign has harassed our employees in the most distressing way possible. It is clear that you intend to cause severe alarm and distress to our employees.
Your conduct amounts to a serious criminal offence, including offences under the MCA and the PHA (which gives rise to both civil and criminal liability). This means that your conduct could lead to a

police investigation, prosecution and, ultimately, to your imprisonment. Harassment is a course of conduct, targeted at another person, which is calculated to (and does) cause that person alarm, fear or distress. In essence there must be conduct which occurs on at least two occasions, which
is calculated in an objective sense to cause alarm or distress and which is objectively judged to be oppressive and unacceptable. What is oppressive and unacceptable may depend on the context in which the conduct occurs, and a line is to be drawn between conduct which is unattractive and unreasonable and conduct which "torments" the victim or is "of an order which would sustain criminal liability". Your relentless pursuit of our employees via emails which contain indecent and grossly offensive messages and your threats to attend the home of one of our employees is plainly aimed at harassing our employees and is equally plainly oppressive.
It is indisputable that your conduct in sending the communications to us was not reasonable. There is no justification for it and there is plainly no defence available under the PHA.
In addition to giving rise to civil liability, the sending of the communications to us is a criminal offence under section 1 of the MCA. The MCA provides that any person who sends to another person any article or electronic communication which is, in whole or in part, a threat, is guilty of an offence if the purpose, or one of the purposes, in sending it is that it should cause distress or anxiety to the recipient or to any other person to whom he intends that it (or its contents or nature) should be communicated.
The content of the emails we have received from you amounts, by any measure, to a threat and it is clear that your intention or purpose in sending the communications to us were to cause anxiety and distress to our employees. In some cases, offences, under the MCA may be punishable by imprisonment.
4
In the event that you deny that you are responsible for sending the emails to us from nobody@dizum.com we give you notice that we will consider making an application to the High Court to obtain a Norwich Pharmacal
Order against "dizum.com" to disclose any identifying information to us in relation to the user who registered the account nobody@dizum.com.
We also reserve the right to seek an order that your computers be inspected to establish that you have sent the emails concerned.
In addition, we will draw the attention of the court to the extremely unlikely prospect that the emails have been sent by anyone other than you:
1. It is plainly inconceivable that a third party has taken the trouble to hack into your email account and has set up a dizum account solely for the purpose of securing an in depth knowledge of your claim against our client and for the purpose of harassing our employees and ourselves.
2. In contrast, it in entirely conceivable that you have launched the campaign yourself and are deliberately seeking to intimidate and distress employees of the law firm that is representing your opponents in court proceedings.
3. You are already under investigation for identical offences.
4. It would be extraordinary if, knowing that your email account had been hacked, you had not taken any steps (such as changing your password) to prevent any third party securing further access to your account. In such circumstances there is no prospect of the third party having continued access to your email account and the information within it.
5. Equally, it would have been straightforward for you to set up a new email account.
6. No reasonable person, knowing that their email account had been accessed by a third party, would continue to use that account without taking such steps (or similar steps) and they would certainly not
continue to deal with sensitive matters (such as court proceedings) using a compromised account.
7. The emails that form the campaign contain references to material that it is reasonable to conclude is only known by you and would not be known by a third party even if they had access to your email account.

What happens next
Proceedings will be brought against you for harassment if you do not now agree to provide us with an undertaking not to pursue a course of conduct, incite, abet, or encourage any other person to pursue a course of conduct which amounts to harassment of our employees contrary to the Protection from Harassment Act 1997.
At this point in time, we will not seek an apology, damages or legal costs from you but if you continue with your campaign of harassment, either directly or indirectly, proceedings will be commenced seeking an injunction, damages and costs.
Needless to say, a finding of civil (or criminal) liability against you will be a matter which will have serious repercussions for you, and you could be fined or imprisoned.
We urge you to take specialist legal advice in relation to this matter as you appear, quite wrongly, to believe that you can pursue a campaign 'anonymously' against our employees.
5
This matter is urgent and we require a response by 4.30pm on 7 June 2022 failing which we reserve the right to commence proceedings without further notice to you.
Yours faithfully,
BLM
BL

(b)
Justice
Pre-action Protocol for Media and Communications Claims - Civil Procedure Rules
https://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/protocol/prot_def 1/5
Pre-action Protocol for Media and Communications Claims
Pre-action Protocol for Media and Communications Claims
Contents

1 Introduction
1.1 This Pre-Action Protocol was updated after a consultation following the formation of the Media and Communications
List in 2017. It now applies to cases within the scope of CPR rule 53.1: all cases involving claims in defamation, misuse of private information, data protection law or harassment by publication, and claims in breach of confidence and malicious falsehood which arise from publication or threatened publication by the print or broadcast media, online, on social media, or in speech.
1.2 This Protocol is intended to encourage exchange of information between parties at an early stage and to provide a clear framework within which parties to a media and communications claim, acting in good faith, can explore the early and appropriate resolution of that claim.
1.3 The courts will treat the standards set out in this Protocol as the normal reasonable approach for parties to a media and communications claim. Therefore, the courts will expect parties to have complied with this Protocol in good time before proceedings are issued. Should a claim proceed to litigation, the extent to which this Protocol has been followed by the parties will assist the court in dealing with liability for costs and making other orders.
1.4 There are important features which distinguish defamation claims and other media and communications cases from other areas of civil litigation, and these must be borne in mind when both applying, and reviewing the application of, the Pre-Action Protocol. In particular, time is

frequently ‘of the essence’ in defamation and other publication claims; the limitation period is (uniquely) only 1 year in defamation and malicious falsehood cases, and often, a Claimant will be seeking an immediate correction and/or apology as part of the process of restoring his/her reputation.

Litigants in Person
If a party to the claim does not have a legal representative they should still, in so far as reasonably possible, fully comply with this Protocol.
If a party to a claim becomes aware that another party is a litigant in person, they should send a copy of this Protocol to the litigant in person at the earliest opportunity

Pre-action Protocol for Media and Communications Claims
6/1/22, 2:45 PM Pre-action Protocol for Media and Communications Claims - Civil Procedure Rules
https://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/protocol/prot_def 2/5
2 Aims of the Protocol
2.1 The aims of this Protocol are to enable the parties to prospective claims to:
(a) understand and properly identify the issues in dispute and to share information and relevant documents;
(b) make informed decisions as to whether and how to proceed;
(c) try to settle the dispute without proceedings or reduce the issues in dispute;
(d) avoid unnecessary expense and control the costs of resolving the dispute; and
(e) support the efficient management of proceedings where court proceedings cannot be avoided.
Proportionality
2.2 In formulating both the Letter of Claim and Response and in taking any subsequent steps, the parties should act reasonably to keep costs proportionate to the nature and gravity of the case and the stage the complaint has reached.
3 Pre-action protocols for Media and Communications List causes of action
3.1 Letter of Claim
The Claimant should notify the Defendant of his/her claim in writing at the earliest reasonable opportunity.
In respect of all causes of action falling within the Media and Communications List, the Claimant should include the following information:
name of Claimant;
the nature of and basis for the entitlement to the remedies sought by the Claimant;
any facts or matters relevant to England and Wales being the most appropriate forum for the dispute; and details of any funding arrangement in place.
3.2 Letter of Claim (Defamation, Slander and Malicious Falsehood)
The Letter of Claim should additionally include the following information—
sufficient details to identify the specific publication which contained the statement complained of;
the statement complained of and, if known, the date of publication; where possible, a copy or transcript of the statement complained of should be enclosed and, in the case of slander, where and in what circumstances as far as known the statement complained of was spoken;
the imputation the Claimant contends was conveyed by the statement complained of;
factual inaccuracies or unsupportable comment within the statement complained of; the Claimant should give a sufficient explanation to enable the Defendant to appreciate why the statement is inaccurate or unsupportable;
for defamation claims, how or why the Claimant says that the statement complained of has caused or is likely to cause serious harm for the purposes of section 1 Defamation Act 2013 including, when the Claimant is a body that trades for profit, such details
6/1/22, 2:45 PM Pre-action Protocol for Media and Communications Claims - Civil Procedure Rules
https://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/protocol/prot_def 3/5

as are available of the nature and value of the serious financial loss which the Claimant says has been caused or is likely to be caused by publication of the statement complained of;
for slander or malicious falsehood claims, how or why the Claimant says that publication of the statement complained of has caused, or is likely to cause, special damage or pecuniary loss, or why publication of the statement is actionable without proof of actual loss; and, in malicious falsehood claims an outline of the Claimant's case with regard to malice.
where relevant, the Letter of Claim should also include—
any facts or matters which make the Claimant identifiable from the statement complained of; and,
details of any special facts relevant to the interpretation of the statement complained of and/or any particular damage caused by the statement complained of.
3.3 Letter of Claim (Privacy, Breach of Confidence)
The Letter of Claim should additionally include the following information—
the information or categories of information which is claimed to constitute confidential information or in respect of which the Claimant is said to have a reasonable expectation of privacy;
sufficient details to identify the publication or proposed publication containing the relevant information;
details of the circumstances giving rise to confidentiality or a reasonable expectation of privacy (in breach of confidence or misuse of private information claims respectively);
why the information is claimed to constitute information in respect of which the Claimant has a reasonable expectation of privacy or confidential information of a nature that should not be published or continued to be published, including details of any damage or distress suffered or anticipated, where an interim non-disclosure order
or final non-disclosure order to restrain publication is sought; and,
in claims for misuse of private information, why it is claimed that the Claimant's right to private and family life outweighs the right to freedom of expression; in respect of confidential information, in so far as known, the extent to which the information is already in the public domain; the nature and any available details of any particular damage caused or likely to be caused by the publication, proposed publication or processing complained of.
When, at the time of sending the letter of claim, the Claimant intends to make an application for dispensation from the requirements of CPR PD16 (Statements of Case) with a view to bringing his/her claim anonymously, this should be indicated in the letter of claim, which should also give an indication of the basis upon which any application would be made. Any response from the Defendant should be provided to the Court upon an application.
3.4 Defendant's Response to Letter of Claim
Letter of Claim (Data Protection)
The Letter of Claim should additionally include the following information—
any further information necessary to identify the data subject;
the data controller to which the claim is addressed;
the information or categories of information which is claimed to constitute personal data including, where necessary,
the information which is said to constitute sensitive personal data or to fall within a special category of personal data;
sufficient details to identify the relevant processing;
the identification of the duty or duties which are said to have been breached and details of the manner in which they are said to have been breached, including any positive case on behalf of the Claimant;
why the personal data ought not to be processed/further processed, if applicable;
the nature and any available details as to any particular damage caused or likely to be caused by the processing/breach of duty complained of; and
Where a representative data protection claim is intended to be brought on behalf of data subjects, the letter of claim should also: set out the nature of the entity which intends to bring the claim and

explain how it fulfils the relevant suitability criteria – see Article 80 of the General Data Protection regulation (GDPR); include details of the data 6/1/22, 2:45 PM Pre-action Protocol for Media and Communications Claims - Civil Procedure Rules
https://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/protocol/prot_def 4/5
subjects on whose behalf the claim would be brought; and, confirmation that they have mandated the representative body to represent them and receive compensation, where applicable.
3.5 Letter of Claim (Harassment where the course of conduct includes publication)
The Letter of Claim should include the following—
sufficient details about the course of conduct which is claimed to constitute harassment, including sufficient details to identify the publication(s) or proposed publication(s) forming part of the course of conduct;
how or why the Claimant says that the course of conduct amounts to harassment, including, if relevant how or why it has caused, or is likely to cause, alarm or distress; and
where relevant, how or why and in what amount the Claimant says that the course of conduct has caused financial loss.
3.6 Defendant's Response to Letter of Claim
The Defendant should provide a full response to the Letter of Claim, as soon as reasonably possible. If the Defendant
believes that he/she will be unable to respond within 14 days (or such shorter time limit as specified in the Letter of Claim),
then he/she should specify the date by which he/she intends to respond.
3.7 The Response should include the following—
whether or to what extent the Claimant's claim is accepted, whether more information is required or whether it is rejected;
if the claim is accepted in whole or in part, the Defendant should indicate which remedies it is willing to offer; if more information is required, then the Defendant should specify precisely what information is needed to enable the claim to be dealt with and why;
if the claim is rejected, then the Defendant should explain the reasons why it is rejected, including a sufficient indication of any statutory exemptions or facts on which the Defendant is likely to rely in support of any substantive defence;
in a defamation or malicious falsehood claim, the defamatory or false imputation(s) the Defendant contends was conveyed
by the statement complained of, if any; and
where the Claimant to a proposed action has indicated his/her intention to make an application to bring the claim anonymously, the Defendant should indicate whether the Defendant accepts such an order would be appropriate and give an indication of the basis for the Defendant's position.
3.8 Settlement and Alternative Dispute Resolution
Court proceedings should be a last resort. The parties should consider whether some form of alternative dispute resolution
(ADR) procedure might enable them to settle their dispute without commencing court proceedings, and if so, endeavour to agree which form to adopt.
Although ADR is not compulsory, the court will expect the parties to have considered ADR. A party's refusal to engage with ADR (including its failure to respond to an invitation to participate in ADR) might be considered unreasonable by the court and could lead to the court ordering that party to pay additional costs.
3.9 Some of the options for resolving disputes without commencing proceedings are—
(a) without prejudice discussions and negotiations between the parties;
(b) mediation – a form of facilitated negotiation assisted by an independent neutral third party;;
6/1/22, 2:45 PM Pre-action Protocol for Media and Communications Claims - Civil Procedure Rules
https://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/protocol/prot_def 5/5

(c) early neutral evaluation (ENE) - a third party giving an informed opinion on the dispute (for example, a lawyer experienced in the field of defamation or an individual experienced in the subject matter of the claim); and
(d) reference to a press regulator established to deal with complaints from members of the public about the editorial content of newspapers and magazines or an arbitration scheme operated by such a regulator.
3.10 CPR Part 36 (Offers to Settle) permits claimants and defendants to make offers to settle before and after proceedings have been issued. The parties should consider if it is appropriate to make a Part 36 Offer before proceedings are issued. If such an offer is made, the party making the offer must supply sufficient evidence and/or information to enable the offer to
be properly considered.
The defendant may make an offer to settle a defamation action through the offers to amend procedure under sections 2 –
4 of the Defamation Act 1996. This procedure requires the defendant to make an offer to publish an apology or a redaction statement. The defendant may also be required to pay damages and costs to the claimant.
Stocktake
3.11 Where the procedure set out in this Protocol has not resolved the dispute between the parties, they should undertake a further review of their respective positions. The parties should consider the state of the papers and the evidence in order to see if proceedings can be avoided and, at the least, narrow the issues between them which can assist efficient case
management.
.
3.12 Further reference
The parties may find reference to the following of particular assistance:
CPR Part 53 and Practice Direction: Defamation Claims;
CPR Part 25: Interim Remedies and Security for Costs;
Master of the Rolls' Practice Guidance: Interim Non-Disclosure Orders, August 2011 [2012] 1 WLR 1003, SEN CTS
(White Book, Volume 1, B13-001 onwards);
CPR PD48 paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2: Part 2 of the Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012
Relating to Civil Litigation Funding and Costs: Transitional Provision and Exceptions – Insolvency-related proceedings and publication and privacy proceedings; and
2013 CPR rule 44.15 and PD44.paragraphs 19.1-19.6: Providing information about funding arrangements (White
Book, Volume 1, 44x.15, 44xPD.3); 2013 Practice Direction – Pre-Action Conduct, paragraph 9.3: Information about funding arrangements (2013 White Book Volume 1 C1-008); and, 2013 CPR rule 44.3B(1)(c): Limits on recovery
under funding arrangements (White Book Volume 1, 44x.3B

Published the correspondence tweeting, facebooking and posting on Linkedin only the final one to Medway County Court:

https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/update-on-claim-j00me5726

https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/update-on-claim-j00me572

https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/update-on-claim-j00me572-1

https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/update-on-claim-j00me572-2

https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/update-on-claim-j00me572-3

https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/update-on-claim-j00me572-4

07.13 am (UK-Time) 22 July 2022

RE: External Email - Fw: UPDATE ON CLAIM j00ME5722
Yahoo
/
Sent

Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
South East VRR and Complaints
Cc:
Enquiries Medway County Court, Enquiries Kent Police, Orla Scanlan, Dizum Nobody
Fri, 22 Jul at 07:34

Dear Sir

In respect of the Hearing announced at Medway County Court on 27 October 2022 at 10.00 am to consider the actions of Kent Police and MI5 over the past decade of highly corrupt practices through lately BLM Law as attached: FREE FROM THE CLUTCHES OF THE DEMON AT LAST.pdf, kindly oblige with an interim report so that I am well fortified in front of the Judge when it comes to it.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
Defendant to the allegations of harassment against Katrina Sale and staff of BLM Law through email communications.
Claimant or damages and compensation from Kent Police for the Hate Crime overseen by the Chief Constable Alan Pughesley
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Hide original message
On Thursday, 21 July 2022 at 13:45:04 BST, South East VRR and Complaints <southeastvrrandcomplaints@cps.gov.uk> wrote:

Thank you for contacting the South East Area of the Crown Prosecution Service.

The Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) is the main prosecuting authority in England and Wales. The CPS has 14 Areas across the country, each headed by a Chief Crown Prosecutor and the South East Area is responsible for prosecuting cases in Kent, Surrey and Sussex only.

Please note that if you have previously been advised that the CPS cannot provide assistance to you and your query does not raise any new issues, we will not be able to provide any further response.

If your enquiry does not relate to the CPS, we will be unable to provide a response, but may be able to provide contact details for the relevant agency or organisation.

Attachment:
FREE FROM THE CLUITCHES OF THE DEMON AT LAST-digital.pdf 632.4kB

Update:
Posted the email before 9.00 am that official proceedings begin on this Friday, tweeting, facebooking and post in on LinkedIn for the Court of Public Opinion.

https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/running-commentary-on-claim-j00me572-08-05-am-uk-time-22-july-2022

08.19 am (UK-Time) 22 July 2022

Shantanu Panigrahi
1h ·
Shared with Public
The atman is free of knowledge: it is just perfect as the mahatman in satya-advaita.

Recast your website Error free...............|}{|///}|}{
Inbox

from: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
to: Deepak Negi <xhsjkaaqhznzjkakk@gmail.com>
cc: Adi from Wix <adil@wix.com>,
"Bravenet Web Services Inc." <domain-return@domainnotify.bravenet.com>
date: 22 Jul 2022, 10:15
subject: Re: Recast your website Error free...............|}{|///}|}{
mailed-by: gmail.com

Dear Deepak

I had brief problems accessing my https://knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com website but it seems to have been rectified now. The State authorities were clearly told about the problems, and especially that I needed the interim report from the Crown Prosecution Service on proceedings associated with J00ME572 at Medway County Court to fortify myself for the dharmayudha to come.

I have not been summoned to attend any Hearings whether at Medway County Court or some other Court, so it will be the Judge at the Medway County Court who will sit down on his own and issue Directions on 27 October 2022 at 10.00 am, unless Orla Scanlan attends this Hearing. She has not committed herself to attending it as far as I am aware. As they say in HIndi 'daal mein kuch kala hai', do you get my drift. Nevertheless, growing up in India until the age of 15 I remember what the sadhu's and gurus used to say and what the common man learnt. This is the background against which I develop my webistes.

Have you heard of 'Babaji beithe pahad pe, aapan lund latkaye, jeka jetan chahiye kaat kaat le jaye'. And also: the twist on 'Aao bacchon tumhe dikahayen jhaki Bartania ke, Rani ko tum nangha dekho, gaand maro Prime Minister ke, Chief Constable ka lund, Jai Jai Modiji naukar.

I need to improve my websites and bring it under one umbreall a but Wix does not enable me to have one website with all my other six websites as subsidiaries
I also need to have these websites connected to my website on religion
http://vishistaadvaitavedanta.com

So I will be grateful if you would assist me in progressing my project work that is a mission for knowledge for world conservation through website development. I attach my current platform for your ease of referral and convenience.

Looking forward to working with you.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Pangirahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

On Fri, 22 Jul 2022 at 09:20, Deepak Negi <xhsjkaaqhznzjkakk@gmail.com> wrote:
Hi there,

I just stumbled upon your website and just want to let you know that your site is showing multiple errors according to google standard.
Not sure whether you are aware of following error on site:
= Missing Brand Positioning and Outdated Design
= Missing UI, UX Aspects on Your Website
= Loading Speed is slow
= Poor mobile friendly Interface
= Poor Typography, Readability, and Navigation
= Missing Appealing Color palette and Imagery
= Missing call to Action

If you are serious about these issues and want to rectify these on a priority basis then I would love to help you and get it redesigned with an elegant look and functionality.

I would love to see if we can help you anyway!

Best Regards,

Deepak Negi

P.S.: If you don't want to receive incoming emails from me please reply "Stop" in the subject line.

Attachments area
SHANTANU PANIGRAHI'S CURRENT WEBSITES PLATFORM
(1) https://facebook.com/shantanu.panigrahi.10
(2) https://linkedin.com/in/shantanu-panigrahi-a120b267/
(3) https://you.38degrees.org.uk/my-campaigns
(4) https://www.theconservativelibertariansociety.com/
(5) http://vishistaadvaitavedanta.com
(6) http://pub46.bravenet.com/forum/3871902446/
(7) https://theconservativelibertarianpartyoftheunitedkingdom.com
(8) https://the-conservative-libertarian-party-of-usa.odoo.com/forum/help-1/good-evening-folks-i-love-america-1
(9) https://the-conservative-libertarian-party-of-usa.odoo.com/
(10) https://theallurementofrealityinreview.com
(11) https://knowledgeforworldconservation.com
(12) https://thenewclimateformankind.com
(12) https://www.facebook.com/The-Allurement-of-Reality-103913214489188
(13) https://www.facebook.com/Searching-for-Mental-Health-Services-109620160474768
(14) https://www.facebook.com/The-Climate-Change-Phenomenon-108699507381019
(15) https://www.facebook.com/GAZA-Humanitarian-Crisis-106512067433250
(16) https://www.linkedin.com/in/shantanu-panigrahi-a120b267/detail/recent-activity/
(17) https://mobile.twitter.com/ShantanuPanigr8
(18) https://www.facebook.com/Legal-and-Mental-Health-Resolutions-109541084553258
(19) https://www.facebook.com/Spirituality-The-Inner-Light-102586688898899/
(20) https://www.thelibertariandemocrats.com/
(21) https://www.newsandviewsfromalibertariandemocrat.com/
(22) https://knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com
(23) https://www.lulu.com/spotlight/the-allurementofrealityofshantanupanigrahi

Summary of Platform
https://www.theconservativelibertariansociety.com/
http://vishistaadvaitavedanta.com
http://pub46.bravenet.com/forum/3871902446/
https://www.newsandviewsfromalibertariandemocrat.com/
https://knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com
https://theallurementofrealityinreview.com
https://knowledgeforworldconservation.com
https://www.thelibertariandemocrats.com/
https://thenewclimateformankind.com
https://www.lulu.com/spotlight/the-allurementofrealityofshantanupanigrahi
https://archive.org/details/@panigrahi491

(24)
https://www.thenewclimateformankind.com/post/an-autobiographical-exploration-of-reality-in-52-sections

Internet Archived Books (52): https://archive.org/details/@panigrahi491
https://archive.org/details/a-conception-of-reality-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/navigating-the-state-of-the-united-kingdom-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/searching-for-mental-health-services-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/karma-in-sanatan-dharma-digital-2_202205
https://archive.org/details/the-preliminaries-of-an-allurement-of-reality-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/the-climate-change-phenomenon-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/maryada-purushottama-bhagwan-ram-digital-1_202205
https://archive.org/details/truth-accommodation-digital-1_202205
https://archive.org/details/autobiography-of-a-sadhaka-in-samadhi-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/post-realisation-musings-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/picking-up-the-pieces-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/misecllany-digital-1_202205
https://archive.org/details/mind-and-mindfulness-digital-1_202205
https://archive.org/details/sri-krishna-s-maya-digital-1_202205
https://archive.org/details/the-final-unfolding-of-truth-digital-1_202205
https://archive.org/details/a-review-of-the-status-quo-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/tidying-up-loose-ends-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/managing-the-environment-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/the-evolution-of-democratic-ideals-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/the-world-serving-nature-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/withstanding-state-organised-persecution-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/passage-to-india-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/back-to-square-one-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/a-new-beginning-beckons-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/loyalty-in-jeopardy-digital-1
https://archive.org/details/hitting-back-in-dharmayudha-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/diary-of-a-paranoid-schizophrenic-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/defamatory-persecution-squashed-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/life-beyond-gyan-yoga-digital-2
https://archive.org/details/the-aftermath-of-conflict-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/footnotes-to-the-allurement-of-reality-digital-1
https://archive.org/details/a-constructive-life-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/a-new-climate-for-mankind-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/paramarthika-dharma-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/resting-on-ones-laurels-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/the-final-straight-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/post-mortem-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/truth-is-cure-all-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/what-advaita-and-why-advaita-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/last-cries-of-the-vanquished-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/collapse-of-western-civilisation-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/science-religion-and-philosophy-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/a-legacy-of-knowledge-accumulation-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/dealing-with-international-criminals-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/dictatorial-monarch-in-the-united-kingdom-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/power-corrupts-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/the-conservative-libertarian-society-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/judgement-pending-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/conscientious-objection-as-a-defence-digital_202205
https://archive.org/details/litigation-is-the-best-form-of-defence-digital_20220514

https://archive.org/details/final-resolution-digital_20220518
https://archive.org/details/preserving-the-rule-of-law-digital_20220602
https://archive.org/details/medical-support-to-withstand-persecution-digital_202206
https://archive.org/details/the-crunch-time-digital_20220616
https://archive.org/details/hindu-spirituality-condensed-digital_20220621
https://archive.org/details/god-and-creation-digital_20220627_1801
https://archive.org/details/prospects-for-a-confirmed-vishista-advaitist-digital_202207
https://archive.org/details/being-my-free-self-digital_20220705
https://archive.org/details/spontaneity-of-actions-digital_202207
https://archive.org/details/and-now-to-the-mundane-digital_20220709_1935
https://archive.org/details/life-in-the-slow-lane-digital_20220715_1816
https://archive.org/details/cessation-of-suffering-digital_202207

RE: Re: Recast your website Error free...............|}{|///}|}{
Inbox

from: Bravenet Support Team <noreply@bravenet.com>
to: shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com
date: 22 Jul 2022, 10:16
subject: RE: Re: Recast your website Error free..............|}{|///}|}{
mailed-by: bravenet.com
security: Standard encryption (TLS) Learn more

Hi there,

Thank you for emailing Bravenet.com. You have reached an unmonitored email address. Please log in to your Bravenet.com account and click on the Support tab on the top black stripe.

If you do not yet have a Bravenet.com account or you are unable to log in to your account, please open a ticket by going to https://support.bravenet.com.

Thank you,

-- The Bravenet Team

We have received your enquiry2
Yahoo
/
Sent
Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
met-tr.imaging@nhs.net
Cc:
Panigrahi Rashmi
Fri, 22 Jul at 11:51

Dear Sir/Madam

My wife is in agony, is it possible to give her an emergency appointment for a CT scan, so that we can go to Spire Hospital with the X-ray report that has already been issued by Meddoc at Medway Maritime Hostpital for private assessment at the Alexandria Hospital?.

Thank you

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

To Spire Hospital
Alexandria

Dear Sir Madam

I am writing about my wife, and not myself. She had a fall a year ago and nothing seemed wrong but 7 weeks or so ago she started tilting to the right and soon after was in agony with a side and back problem. She has seen Meddoc at Medway Maritime Hospital a week ago and the doctor examined her thoroughly to detect that there might be a lumbosacral fracture that is causing her a lot of pain, so much so that she cannot walk without the aid of a walking stick to keep her straight. First her own GP Surgery sent her for physiotherapy and she had gentle massaging from at a Osteopathic Cleaning. These did not help and if anything made her even worse than before. Now the GP Surgery has referred her for Orthopaedic assessment but the waiting time is long. She has also been referred for a CTI scan and Medway Maritime Hospital but the waiting time seems uncertain.

She has private Heath insurance with Benenden Health and if the Orthopadic and CTI Scan does not make her better, she would be as good as disabled unless there is surgery to rectify whatever might be the underlying problem with her spine.

I understand that Spire Alexandra (Walderslade) charge £250 for an initial assessment, and we are prepared to pay this pending the recommendations for treatment through Surgery or something else.

Could you make an appointment for her to come and see you. Her name is Mrs Rashmi Panigrahi and we live in 3 Hoath Lane, Wigmore, Gillingham, Kent, ME8 0SL.

Thank you for urgent attention.
Dr Shantanu Panigrahi (husband)

Hide original message
----- Forwarded message -----
From: "donotreply@spirehealthcare.com" <donotreply@spirehealthcare.com>
To: "shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com" <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 22 July 2022 at 11:44:41 BST
Subject: We have received your enquiry

Spire Healthcare
We have received your enquiry
Thank you for your enquiry.

We’re reviewing your enquiry and will be in contact with you.

We’re seeing higher numbers of calls and enquiries than normal so it’s taking longer than usual for us to answer your enquiry. We appreciate your patience.

Feel safe with Spire during COVID-19
Your safety is our highest priority so we’ve introduced additional measures to ensure everyone feels confident about coming into our hospitals. This includes ‘patient pathways’ – you’ll have one of three patient journeys depending on your reason to visit. Find out more about our steps to keep you secure

Thank you and best regards

Spire Alexandra Hospital Self Pay Advisory Team

Enquiry ref: 6179612

Spire Healthcare Limited | Registered office: 3 Dorset Rise, London, EC4Y 8EN
Privacy policy
looking after you

Re: [Ticket: 6179612] Spire Alexandra Hospital2
Yahoo
/
Sent
Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
Panigrahi Rashmi
Cc:
alexandrawebform@spirehealthcare.com, met-tr.imaging@nhs.net
Fri, 22 Jul at 13:05
Rashmi
Please consider. All depends on the appointment you get with the NHS Orthopaedic Department and how long you can put up in discomfort pending that.
I would suggest you telephone the Surgery and get the urgency emphasised.

Shantanu

Hide original message
----- Forwarded message -----
From: alexandrawebform@spirehealthcare.com <alexandrawebform@spirehealthcare.com>
To: "shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com" <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 22 July 2022 at 12:15:22 BST
Subject: Re: [Ticket: 6179612] Spire Alexandra Hospital

Spire Healthcare
Good Afternoon,

Thank you for your enquiry about Orthopaedic spinal surgery at the Spire Alexandra Hospital.
We can help you here by offering you an initial consultation with our specialist(s):
Mr Imran Rafiq

The cost of an initial consultation is £250 and is a necessary step before any procedure or investigation is undertaken. We recommend that you bring along details of any previous tests that may have already been carried out, relating to your current symptoms.
The next available appointment with Mr Rafiq is either Friday the 5th of August 2022 at 12:05 or Monday the 8th of August 2022 from 9am. If you would to book one of these days please let me know which one and I can get that booked in, I will also need the name of the GP surgery.
The cost of further investigations or treatment depends on what is recommended for your individual needs.
Booking an appointment
I would be happy to discuss this in more detail or book an appointment for you. My direct line is 01634 662 866, office hours are Mon-Fri 9-5pm (excl. bank holidays).
Yours sincerely
Chloe Harris
Spire Healthcare | Hospital Sales Executive | Business Development Department
Spire Alexandra Hospital | Impton Lane | Walderslade | ME5 9PG
E: cservice-al@spirehealthcare.com | 01634 66 28 66

Finance Options
Finance options to suit you are available – including interest free finance – for more information and access to our online calculator and eligibility checker please visit www.spirehealthcare.com/finance. Please note the minimum loan amount is £1,121 (excluding consultation fee).

From: shantanupanigrahi@YAHOO.COM
Sent: July 22 11:44:37
To:alexandrawebform@spirehealthcare.com
Subject: General enquiry for Spire Healthcare (General enquiry form)
You are receiving this email because the system calculated that Alexandra Hospital is the nearest hospital to the postcode 'ME8 0SL'.
Please enter the details of the person below into CRM, and respond to the enquiry using the contact details provided below.
WebId: W01237923
Title: Dr
First Name: Shantanu
Surname: Panigrahi
Date of Birth: 1957/8/8
Email: shantanupanigrahi@YAHOO.COM
Phone: 07967789619
Postcode: ME8 0SL
Enquiry Type: An appointment
Enquiry: Dear Sir Madam I am writing about my wife, and not myself. She had a fall a year ago and nothing seemed wrong but 7 weeks or so ago she started tilting to the right and soon after was in agony with a side and back problem. She has seen Meddoc at Medway Maritime Hospital a week ago and the doctor examined her thoroughly to detect that there might be a lumbosacral fracture that is causing her a lot of pain, so much so that she cannot walk without the aid of a walking stick to keep her straight. First her own GP Surgery sent her for physiotherapy and she had gentle massaging from at a Osteopathic Cleaning. These did not help and if anything made her even worse than before. Now the GP Surgery has referred her for Orthopaedic assessment but the waiting time is long. She has also been referred for a CTI scan and Medway Maritime Hospital but the waiting time seems uncertain. She has private Heath insurance with Benenden Health and if the Orthopadic and CTI Scan does not make her better, she would be as good as disabled unless there is surgery to rectify whatever might be the underlying problem with her spine. I understand that Spire Alexandra

(Walderslade) charge £250 for an initial assessment, and we are prepared to pay this pending the recommendations for treatment through Surgery or something else. Could you make an appointment for her to come and see you. Her name is Mrs Rashmi Panigrahi and we live in 3 Hoath Lane, Wigmore, Gillingham, Kent, ME8 0SL. Thank you for urgent attention. Dr Shantanu Panigrahi (husband)
Funding Type: Private medical insurance
Privacy Policy was read: True
Receive Via SMS: False
Receive Via Email: True
Nearest Hospital: Alexandra Hospital
Enquiry URL: //www.spirehealthcare.com/enquire/

Spire Healthcare Limited | Registered office: 3 Dorset Rise, London, EC4Y 8EN
Privacy policy
looking after you

Posted, tweeted, Facebooked, and posted in LinkedIn to face the music:
https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/running-commentary-on-claim-j00me572-13-06-pm-uk-time-22-july-2022

13.26 pm (UK-Time) 22 July 2022

Being free of baggage as one travels
in Humanities
https://www.knowledgeforworldconservation.com/forum/humanities/being-free-of-baggage-as-one-travels

The more baggage one carries the slower one moves. That is obvious. What is not obvious is that this principle applies to the human mind in its journey towards knowledge.

My brains are parked nowadays at the autonomous regulatory mechanism of biology. My mind is free of baggage consequently. I do not remember things consciously. The travel of the mind somehow identies truth from falsehood the truth only assuages the mind for continued mental freedom. The process is rapid. It is spontaneous knowledge development.

13.26 pm (UK-Time) 22 July 2022

Shantanu Panigrahi
Author
In Facebook Transcendentalism Group
Jess Waller Atman is a Hindu term for the transcendented mind. When it operates at the peak of unattachment (free of the body-brain and mind complex) one identifies oneself as mahatman. Spiritually atman and mahatman are not what is commonly said to be the soul. The mahatman is truth-consciousness personified. Hence the term satya-advaita vedanta or the Vedanta of Truth-Accommodation describes this transcendence of the mind. It is not a spirit from some God that descends into the mind as paramatman. It is the ascent of the mind to its highest possible potential. At this point knowledge has evaporated since there is no intellect. One does not want intellect, so perfect the state of being is in coping with one's moment by moment chores.

God is not required to function at this level. God in Satya-advaita lies dormant in one's psyche unless He/She decides to awaken the mind of a devotee steeped in bhakti. But God returns to the dormancy of His/Her abode once the person stands on his or her own two feet. So we are talking of Satya-advaita as theism of sorts, should I say qualified theism in view of the fact that the Satya-advaitist appreciates the existence of God but is unattached to the Supreme Being. Transcendentalism as practiced within Satya-advaita relates to the state of being that I have described above as mahatman.
https://www.knowledgeforworldconservation.com/forum/spirituality/transcendentalism-and-satya-advaita

---

19.50 pm (UK-Time) 22 July 2022

The devotion to truth is considerably more objective than seeking a God out of His or Her dormancy. Knowing He does exist is just a piece of the jigsaw puzzle of existence. Truth slays the demons in one's head and those outside if one has found the way to pursue truth.

Discontinuance of J00ME5722
Yahoo
/
Sent
Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
Enquiries Medway County Court, Enquiries Kent Police, Orla Scanlan, civil.claims@kent.pnn.police.uk, southeastvrrandcomplaints@cps.gsi.gov.uk
Fri, 22 Jul at 21:22

Dear Sirs

1. As I had indicated to the Courts and Kent Police, my decision to terminate proceedings was final but I needed confirmation that the Court would yet change its mind and endorse Default Judgment in my favour to order Kent Police to pay me £3.4 million in damages and compensation but the silence from all concerned convinces me that I should adhere to my decision; and I have completed the Form that you referred me to for the Court's processing as soon as possible.n279-eng Discontinuance of Injunction Claim and Money Claims Kent Police.pdf.

2. In view of the conspiracy against me from the Judicial and Law enforcement and medical institutions of the State as summarised in the skeleton argument attached: FREE FROM THE CLUTCHES OF THE DEMON AT LAST.pdf, I am seeking the Defendants summary of costs incurred thus far in the processing of the arguments submitted to the court on 27 May 2022 which indicated that costs would follow in due course. I do not intend to pay any Costs as the conspiracy is proven.

My understanding is that this application for discontinuance requires permission to progress further into the next stage of proceedings in relation to the attached: NorthKentPoliceReleasewithoutbail.pdf.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
(Claimant)
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore

Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Download all attachments as a zip file
(a) n279-eng Discontinuance of Injunction Claim and Money ClaimsKentPolice.pdf 75.8kB
FREE FROM THE CLUITCHES OF THE DEMON AT LAST-digital.pdf 860kB
(c) NorthKentPoliceReleasewithoutBail.pdf 1.2MB

(a)
Notice of discontinuance
Note: Where another party must consent to the
proceedings being discontinued, a copy of their
consent must be attached to, and served with,
this form.
In the
Claim No.
Claimant
(including ref.)
Defendant
(including ref.)
To the court
The claimant (defendant)
(tick only one box)
discontinues all of this (claim) (counterclaim)
discontinues that part of this claim (counterclaim) relating to: (specify which part

I am hereby seeking permission to discontinue without prejudice all of the Claim under J00ME572 on account of the fact that my application for an intermin report of the Crown Prosecution Service and Kent Police was not ackwoledged by the Defendant and the Defendant did not inform me that it will be represented at the Hearing of 27 October 2027 at 10 am at Medway County Court; and further that the the Court conflated the Money Claims with the applictaion for an Injunction to stop the Hate Crime being perpetrated on me and my family by M15 through Kent Police and its co-conspirators who have ganged up against me to persecute me and make our lives intolerable in the United Kingdom.
against the
Mr Alan (Hugh) Pughesley, Chief Constable of Kent Police.
I certify that I have served a copy of this notice on every other party to the proceedings
Signed S. Panigrahi
(Claimant)(Defendant)('s solicitor)(Litigation friend)
Position or
office held
(if signing on behalf of firm or company)
Date 22 July 2022
The court office at
is open between 10 am and 4 pm Monday to Friday. When corresponding with the court, please address forms or letters to the Court Manager and quote the claim number.
N279 - w3 Notice of discontinuance(6.99) Printed on behalf of The Court Service

c)
NorthKentPoliceReleasewithoutBail.pdf 1.2MB:

KENT POLICE
OFFICIAL
Date: 24/11/2021

Notification of Release From Police Custody Without Bail
(Released – Under Investigation)
(PACE 1984 & CJ Act 2003 as amended by Police & Crime Act 2017)

Custody Record Number 46XC/4807/21
Custody Station: North Kent
Alleged Offence(s) Other -Not Listed -PNC Recordable – OIC PC 46 15002 Nichols

Defendant
Name: Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
Date of Birth: 08/08/1957
Address: 3 HOATH LANE, WIGMORE,GILLINGHAM,KENT,KENT, ME8 0SL
Other contact details: Mobile Phone – 07967789619

You remain under police investigation and the caser will be kept under review.
In relation to the offence(s) for which you have been arrested, a review of your case has been conducted and it has been deemed that you shall be released from Police Custody without bail. When the investigation is complete amd a decision has been reached, you will be contacted by Post to advise you of the outcome and any next steps.
. If the decision is to proceed with a prosecution then you will receive a postal requisition/charge with documentation outlining the offences and details of the Court, and a date that you are required to attend the Court. (An explanation of the 'Postal Charging' process is provided at the end of this notice)
. If the decision is made to proceed with a Non-court disposal option, such as a Simple Caution, Conditional Caution, Fixed Penalty Notice or Community Resolution, you will be informed of that decision and the next steps.
. If the decision is that No Further Action (NFA) is taken against you, then you will receive a notice informing you of that decision. (Any biometric material will be deleted or may be subject to an application to the Commi ssioner for the Retention and Use of Biometric Material for extended retention (qualifying offence only) or may be retained indefinitely due to previous conviction history)
. If any new evidence comes to light or an examination or analysis of existing evidence has been made which could not reasonably have been made before your release, you could be rearrested.
. It may also be necessary for you to undergo a further interview. If this is deemed necessary you may be arrested for that purpose or you may be invited to attend a voluntary interview if you agree to that course of action.
. While you remain under investigation, your biometric material (DNA and/or fingerprints) and your custody photograph will be held and searched against the national databases

---

23.18 (UK-Time) 22 July 2022

Discontinuance of J00ME5723
Yahoo
/
Sent
Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To:
amulyanayak209@gmail.com

Sat, 23 Jul at 07:11

Amulya

Eita padha au kuha mote kan karba katha.

Shantanu

Hide original message
----- Forwarded message -----
From: Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To: Enquiries Medway County <enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk>; Enquiries Kent <enquiries@kent.police.uk>; Orla Scanlan <orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com>; civil.claims@kent.pnn.police.uk <civil.claims@kent.pnn.police.uk>; southeastvrrandcomplaints@cps.gsi.gov.uk <southeastvrrandcomplaints@cps.gsi.gov.uk>
Sent: Friday, 22 July 2022 at 21:22:32 BST
Subject: Discontinuance of J00ME572

Dear Sirs

1. As I had indicated to the Courts and Kent Police, my decision to terminate proceedings was final but I needed confirmation that the Court would yet change its mind and endorse Default Judgment in my favour to order Kent Police to pay me £3.4 million in damages and compensation but the silence from all concerned convinces me that I should adhere to my decision; and I have completed the Form that you referred me to for the Court's processing as soon as possible.n279-eng Discontinuance of Injunction Claim and Money Claims Kent Police.pdf.

2. In view of the conspriracy against me from the Judicial and Law enforcement and Medical institutions of the State as summarised in the skeleton argument attached: FREE FROM THE CLUTCHES OF THE DEMON AT LAST.pdf, I am seeking the Defendants summary of costs incurred thus far in the processing of the arguments submitted to the court on 27 May 2022 which indicated that costs would follow in due course. I do not intend to pay any Costs as the conspiracy is proven.

My understanding is that this application for discontinuance requires permission to progress further into the next stage of proceedings in relation to the attached: NorthKentPoliceReleasewithoutbail.pdf.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
(Claimant)
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

Download all attachments as a zip file
n279-eng Discontinuance of Injunction Claim and Money ClaimsKentPolice.pdf 75.8kB
FREE FROM THE CLUITCHES OF THE DEMON AT LAST-digital.pdf 860kB
NorthKentPoliceReleasewithoutBail.pdf 1.2MB

07.26 am (UK-Time) 23 July 2022

Posted my email to the Legal authorities, tweeting, facebooking and posting it on LinkedIn before Court commences deliberations:
https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/permission-sought-to-discontinue-claim-j00me572

08.03 am (UK-Time) 23 July 2022

Fw: Discontinuance of J00ME572
Inbox

from: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
to: correspondence@attorneygeneral.gov.uk
date: 23 Jul 2022, 08:45
subject: Fwd: Fw: Discontinuance of J00ME572
mailed-by: gmail.com

Correspondence address
Attorney General's Office
102 Petty France
London
SW1H 9EA
United Kingdom
Email
correspondence@attorneygeneral.gov.uk
Telephone - 020 7271 2492
Please note we are not able to provide legal advice to members of the public or businesses.
For information only and any proceedings appropriate by the Attorney General Right Honourable Suella Braverman

Dear Attorney General

I would indulge with your pardon for doing so in bringing the following proceedings into government's attention for disposal as appropriate. It is the result of considerable examination of the Constitution of the United Kingdom unwritten as it is so evolutionary in conception with changing times and approrpiate interpretations of how the State should function.

If you would like any more information, please let me know.
Yours sincerely
Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL

Tel: 07967789619
---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Shantanu Panigrahi <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
Date: Fri, 22 Jul 2022 at 22:21

Subject: Fw: Discontinuance of J00ME572
To: Babu Shan Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
----- Forwarded message -----
From: "Shantanu Panigrahi" <shantanupanigrahi@yahoo.com>
To: "Enquiries Medway County" <enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk>, "Enquiries Kent" <enquiries@kent.police.uk>, "Orla Scanlan" <orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com>, "civil.claims@kent.pnn.police.uk" <civil.claims@kent.pnn.police.uk>, "southeastvrrandcomplaints@cps.gsi.gov.uk" <southeastvrrandcomplaints@cps.gsi.gov.uk>
Cc:
Sent: Fri, 22 Jul 2022 at 21:22
Subject: Discontinuance of J00ME572
Dear Sirs

1. As I had indicated to the Courts and Kent Police, my decision to terminate proceedings was final but I needed confirmation that the Court would yet change its mind and endorse Default Judgment in my favour to order Kent Police to pay me £3.4 million in damages and compensation but the silence from all concerned convinces me that I should adhere to my decision; and I have completed the Form that you referred me to for the Court's processing as soon as possible.n279-eng Discontinuance of Injunction Claim and Money Claims Kent Police.pdf.

2. In view of the conspiracy against me from the Judicial and Law enforcement and Medical institutions of the State as summarised in the skeleton argument attached: FREE FROM THE CLUTCHES OF THE DEMON AT LAST.pdf, I am seeking the Defendants summary of costs incurred thus far in the processing of the arguments submitted to the court on 27 May 2022 which indicated that costs would follow in due course. I do not intend to pay any Costs as the conspiracy is proven.

My understanding is that this application for discontinuance requires permission to progress further into the next stage of proceedings in relation to the attached: NorthKentPoliceReleasewithoutbail.pdf.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
(Claimant)
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

3 Attachments
n279-eng Discontinuance of Injunction Claim and Money Claims Kent Police.pdf.
FREE FROM THE CLUTCHES OF THE DEMON AT LAST.pdf
NorthKentPoliceReleasewithoutbail.pdf.

Claim J00ME572 Processing
from: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
to: enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk
cc: orla.scanlan@blmlaw.com

date: 23 Jul 2022, 10:31
subject: Claim J00ME572 Processing
mailed-by: gmail.com

To
Court Manager
Medway County Court

Dear Sir/Madam

1. Please let me know if any objections have been raised by the Defendant on the proposed discontinuation of Claim J00ME572, and if not what further do I need to do to draw a line under the matter. If on the other hand objections have been raised without informing me, please convey the Judge's response to it by 5.00 pm today, the 23 July 2022.

2. If I do not hear from any of the parties in this dispute or receive an acknowledgment of this email before the Hearing date fixed for 27 October 2022, 10.00 am, I will attend the Hearing in person at Medway County Court as scheduled in the attached: J00ME572(1)Hearing 27 Oct2022_10am.pdf.

Yours sincerely

Dr Shantanu Panigrahi
3 Hoath Lane
Wigmore
Gillingham
Kent ME8 0SL
Tel: 07967789619

---------- Forwarded message --------- Fwd: Thank you for contacting the Attorney General's Office
From: Correspondence (AGO) <AGO.Correspondence@attorneygeneral.gov.uk>
Date: Sat, 23 Jul 2022 at 08:45
Subject: Thank you for contacting the Attorney General's Office
To: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>

Thank you for contacting the Attorney General's Office (AGO).
Please note the Attorney General provides legal advice to the government and is unable to give legal advice, assistance or support to individuals. The Attorney General does not have investigatory powers.
We strive to answer all correspondence that falls within the remit of the AGO within 20 days. However, we are unable to reply to matters that do not fall within the responsibility of the department.
Please note that, although the Attorney General superintends the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS), Serious Fraud Office (SFO), and Government Legal Department (GLD), these departments are operationally independent and the Attorney General's Office is unable to intervene in individual cases or comment on any active proceedings.
Unduly Lenient Sentence Referrals
If you have contacted our office regarding a sentence you feel is too low, please ensure you have provided us with all the information we require. Full details can be found at the following link: https://www.gov.uk/ask-crown-court-sentence-review
If you are the victim in the case in question, or a close family member of the victim, you can expect to be informed of the outcome of your referral. Due to the large number of referrals we receive, we

unfortunately cannot guarantee a response to referrals made by those without such a connection to the case.
Other Matters
If your correspondence is in relation to:
Coronavirus / COVID-19 issues – please refer to the regularly updated guidance at https://www.gov.uk/coronavirus
Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) matters or complaints – please contact the CPS: https://www.cps.gov.uk/ or refer to the CPS complaints procedure: https://www.cps.gov.uk/feedback-and-complaints
Serious Fraud Office (SFO) matters or complaints – please contact the SFO: https://www.sfo.gov.uk/ or refer to the SFO complaints procedure: https://www.sfo.gov.uk/publications/guidance-policy-and-protocols/complaints-policy/
Government Legal Department (GLD) matters or complaints – please contact the GLD: https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/government-legal-department or refer to the GLD complaints procedure: https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/government-legal-department/about/complaints-procedure
Courts, judges or sentencing policy – please contact the Ministry of Justice: https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/ministry-of-justice
Police – please contact the Home Office: https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/home-office
You may wish to redirect your correspondence to another department that has responsibility for the issue you have raised.
More information about the role of the AGO can be found at our website: https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/attorney-generals-office.
AGOAUTORESPONSECODE08051872

Attachments area
J00ME572(1)Hearing 27 Oct2022_10am.pdf

10.50 am (UK-Time) 23 July 2022

https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/fw-discontinuance-of-j00me572

https://www.knowledgeassessmentanddissemination.com/post/claim-j00me572-processing

---

Last entry: 12.41 pm (UK-Time) 23 July 2022

Re: What do you think about Tide?
Inbox

from: Dorian <hello@tide.co>
reply-to: hello@tide.co
to: shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com
cc: enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk,
cccladjournments@justice.gov.uk
date: 23 Jul 2022, 09:14
subject: Re: What do you think about Tide?
mailed-by: kbounces.tide.co
Signed by: tide.co
security: Standard encryption (TLS) Learn more
: Important mainly because it was sent directly to you.

Hi Shantanu,

I am reaching out to you as no reply has been received since my latest message and this conversation thread will be closed down. Should there be a further need for assistance or If there is anything else, please get in touch with us once more.

Thanks,

Dorian

This email contains important service information about your Tide account. You may continue to receive similar notifications, even if you unsubscribe from other emails.

Tide Platform Limited, 5th Floor, 1 Appold Street, London, England, EC2A 2UT. Registered in England. Company registration number: 9595646.

---

from: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
to: hello@tide.co
cc: enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk,
cccladjournments <cccladjournments@justice.gov.uk>
date: 23 Jul 2022, 09:18
subject: Re: What do you think about Tide?
mailed-by: gmail.com

Yes, I am still interested.

On Sat, 23 Jul 2022, 09:14 Dorian, <hello@tide.co> wrote:

Hi Shantanu,

I am reaching out to you as no reply has been received since my latest message and this conversation thread will be closed down. Should there be a further need for assistance or If there is anything else, please get in touch with us once more.

Thanks,

Dorian

This email contains important service information about your Tide account. You may continue to receive similar notifications, even if you unsubscribe from other emails.

Tide Platform Limited, 5th Floor, 1 Appold Street, London, England, EC2A 2UT. Registered in England. Company registration number: 9595646.

---

from: Dorian <hello@tide.co>
reply-to: hello@tide.co
to: shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com
cc: enquiries.medway.countycourt@justice.gov.uk, cccladjournments@justice.gov.uk
date: 23 Jul 2022, 09:24
subject: Re: What do you think about Tide?
mailed-by: kbounces.tide.co
Signed by: tide.co
security: Standard encryption (TLS) Learn more
: Important mainly because you often read messages with this label.

Hello Shantanu,

In what way can I help you out further and would it be okay if we continue the correspondence over the in-app chat?

Apologies, it is the most secure channel for correspondence, and we cannot discuss any account-related details over email, this would be for the best.

This email contains important service information about your Tide account. You may continue to receive similar notifications, even if you unsubscribe from other emails.

Tide Platform Limited, 5th Floor, 1 Appold Street, London, England, EC2A 2UT. Registered in England. Company registration number: 9595646.

---

from: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
to: hello@tide.co
date: 23 Jul 2022, 09:28
subject: Re: What do you think about Tide?
mailed-by: gmail.com
Dear Tide I would like to discuss it with you in-App chat, how do I install it?

Thanks

Shantanu

On Sat, 23 Jul 2022, 09:24 Dorian, <hello@tide.co> wrote:

Hello Shantanu,

In what way can I help you out further and would it be okay if we continue the correspondence over the in-app chat?

Apologies, it is the most secure channel for correspondence, and we cannot discuss any account-related details over email, this would be for the best.
This email contains important service information about your Tide account. You may continue to receive similar notifications, even if you unsubscribe from other emails.

Tide Platform Limited, 5th Floor, 1 Appold Street, London, England, EC2A 2UT. Registered in England. Company registration number: 9595646.

Dorian
09:37 (3 hours ago)
to me
Hi Shantanu,

Do you currently have the Tide app on your phone?

You can access the chat service through it directly. If you no longer have the app, please re-install it through the application store on your phone, you will need to go through the account recovery process, this might take an hour to so for the relevant team to conduct their checks on your account.
This email contains important service information about your Tide account. You may continue to receive similar notifications, even if you unsubscribe from other emails.

Tide Platform Limited, 5th Floor, 1 Appold Street, London, England, EC2A 2UT. Registered in England. Company registration number: 9595646.

---

from: Shantanu Panigrahi <shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com>
to: hello@tide.co
date: 23 Jul 2022, 09:57
subject: Re: What do you think about Tide?
mailed-by: gmail.com

Yes I have the Tide App and have just uploaded my passport and Selfie successfully. I can see my sort code and Account Number. It is doing further checks.

Shantanu

from: Dorian <hello@tide.co>
reply-to: hello@tide.co
to: shanpanigrahi3000@gmail.com
date: 23 Jul 2022, 10:03
subject: Re: What do you think about Tide?
mailed-by: kbounces.tide.co
Signed by: tide.co
security: Standard encryption (TLS) Learn more
: Important mainly because you often read messages with this label.

Hi Shantanu,

Glad to hear!

Once completed, please get in touch with us through the chat service which you can fund on the support tab, we will assist you with any further queries.

I will close down this email thread to avoid further confusion.

Thank you for getting in touch.

Kind Regards,
Dorian

This email contains important service information about your Tide account. You may continue to receive similar notifications, even if you unsubscribe from other emails.

Tide Platform Limited, 5th Floor, 1 Appold Street, London, England, EC2A 2UT. Registered in England. Company registration number: 9595646.

Dorian
10:03 (3 hours ago)
to me

Hi Shantanu,

Glad to hear!

Once completed, please get in touch with us through the chat service which you can fund on the support tab, we will assist you with any further queries.

I will close down this email thread to avoid further confusion.

Thank you for getting in touch.

Kind Regards,
Dorian

This email contains important service information about your Tide account. You may continue to receive similar notifications, even if you unsubscribe from other emails.

Tide Platform Limited, 5th Floor, 1 Appold Street, London, England, EC2A 2UT. Registered in England. Company registration number: 9595646.

In Tide Account:
I checked Support on where to enter the Verification Code for the Account:
Selected: Verify Account
Support: Is your issue related to any of the following topics?
Selected: Periodic Reviews

Support: Thanks! We will now connect you to our Member Support Team
Entered: My verification code is 3arcfagx
No one came on line to assist with this. 1.25 pm 23 July 2022
Support: There might be a delay in our response time today. We are slightly busier than normal and we appreciate your patience while we get back to you 1.53 pm
Support: I have forwarded your query to the relevant team. Please be patient while they get back to you. 3.00 pm

---

Last entry: 17.20 pm (UK-Time) 23 July 2022